UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

| | FORM | 10-Q | |
|-------------|---|--|----------------------------------|
| (Marl | ark One) | | |
| \boxtimes | QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OI 1934 | R 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCH | ANGE ACT OF |
| | For the quarterly period end | ed September 30, 2011. | |
| | OR | | |
| | TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OF 1934 | R 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCH | ANGE ACT OF |
| | For the transition period f | rom to . | |
| | Commission File Num | ıber (000-21767) | |
| | ViaSat, (Exact name of registrant as | | |
| | Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 33-0174996 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) | |
| | 6155 El Cami Carlsbad, Califo (760) 476- (Address of principal executive off | ornia 92009 2200 | |
| | Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required ring the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \boxtimes No \square | | _ |
| | Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T ($\S 232.405$ of this gistrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No \square | | |
| the o | Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an ace definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting | | reporting company. See |
| Larg | arge accelerated filer $oxingto$ Accelerated filer $oxingto$ (Do not | Non-accelerated filer \square Sm check if a smaller reporting company) | aller reporting company $\ \Box$ |
| | Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in | n Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes \Box No |) 🗵 |
| | The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, \$0.0001 | par value, as of November 1, 2011 was 42,230,684 | |
| | | | |

VIASAT, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Pag |
|---|-----|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | 3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations | 4 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 5 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity and Comprehensive Income | (|
| Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 5 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 34 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 53 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 54 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 54 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 54 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 56 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 56 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 57 |

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

VIASAT, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

| | Sept | As of tember 30, 2011 | As of April 1, 2011 |
|---|------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| ASSETS | | (In thousa | ilius) |
| Current assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 36,007 | \$ 40,490 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 198,488 | 191,889 |
| Inventories | | 122,343 | 98,555 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 18,313 | 18,805 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 44,568 | 21,141 |
| Total current assets | | 419,719 | 370,880 |
| Satellites, net | | 570,953 | 533,000 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 263,947 | 233,139 |
| Other acquired intangible assets, net | | 72,079 | 81,889 |
| Goodwill | | 83,345 | 83,532 |
| Other assets | | 115,524 | 103,308 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,525,567 | \$1,405,748 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 65,894 | \$ 71,712 |
| Accrued liabilities | | 117,309 | 130,583 |
| Current portion of other long-term debt | | 1,212 | 1,128 |
| Total current liabilities | | 184,415 | 203,423 |
| Senior Notes due 2016, net | | 272,544 | 272,296 |
| Other long-term debt | | 171,401 | 61,946 |
| Other liabilities | | 24,422 | 23,842 |
| Total liabilities | | 652,782 | 561,507 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | | |
| Equity: | | | |
| ViaSat, Inc. stockholders' equity | | | |
| Common stock | | 4 | 4 |
| Paid-in capital | | 623,475 | 601,029 |
| Retained earnings | | 264,456 | 254,722 |
| Common stock held in treasury | | (20,157) | (17,907) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | | 862 | 2,277 |
| Total ViaSat, Inc. stockholders' equity | | 868,640 | 840,125 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | | 4,145 | 4,116 |
| Total equity | _ | 872,785 | 844,241 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ | 1,525,567 | \$1,405,748 |

VIASAT, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

| | | Three Mont | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|---|--------|---------------|----|-----------------|---------------|------------------|------|-------------|--|
| | Septer | mber 30, 2011 | | ber 1, 2010 | | nber 30, 2011 | Octo | ber 1, 2010 | |
| Revenues: | | | (1 | n thousands, ex | cept per snar | e data) | | | |
| Product revenues | \$ | 146,611 | \$ | 127,586 | \$ | 269,157 | \$ | 252,588 | |
| Service revenues | | 76,413 | | 70,303 | | 148,968 | | 137,305 | |
| Total revenues | | 223,024 | | 197,889 | | 418,125 | | 389,893 | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of product revenues | | 107,909 | | 88,451 | | 200,194 | | 183,165 | |
| Cost of service revenues | | 54,204 | | 41,697 | | 103,520 | | 80,759 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | | 44,379 | | 41,952 | | 86,112 | | 80,873 | |
| Independent research and development | | 6,809 | | 7,622 | | 12,503 | | 14,936 | |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | | 4,767 | | 5,094 | | 9,539 | | 9,704 | |
| Income from operations | | 4,956 | | 13,073 | | 6,257 | | 20,456 | |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 13 | | 63 | | 39 | | 202 | |
| Interest expense | | (211) | | (950) | | (211) | | (3,091) | |
| Income before income taxes | | 4,758 | | 12,186 | | 6,085 | | 17,567 | |
| (Benefit from) provision for income taxes | | (3,411) | | 4,385 | | (3,678) | | 6,366 | |
| Net income | | 8,169 | | 7,801 | | 9,763 | | 11,201 | |
| Less: Net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest, net of | | | | | | | | | |
| tax | | 194 | | 15 | | 29 | | 154 | |
| Net income attributable to ViaSat, Inc. | \$ | 7,975 | \$ | 7,786 | \$ | 9,734 | \$ | 11,047 | |
| Basic net income per share attributable to ViaSat, Inc. common stockholders | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.27 | |
| Diluted net income per share attributable to ViaSat, Inc. common | Ψ | 0.13 | Ψ | 0.13 | Ψ | 0.23 | Ψ | 0.27 | |
| stockholders | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.22 | \$ | 0.26 | |
| Shares used in computing basic net income per share | | 42,142 | | 40,640 | | 41,972 | | 40,304 | |
| Shares used in computing diluted net income per share | | 43,894 | | 42,717 | | 43,860 | | 42,466 | |
| | | .5,55 | | .=,, | | .5,555 | | , | |

VIASAT, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

| | Six Months Ended | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|--------|--------------|
| | Septe | ember 30, 2011 | | ober 1, 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | (In thous | sands) | |
| Net income | \$ | 9,763 | \$ | 11,201 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | Ψ | 5,705 | Ψ | 11,201 |
| Depreciation | | 48,000 | | 40,983 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | | 11,907 | | 9,720 |
| Deferred income taxes | | (3,388) | | 6,220 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | | 8,979 | | 8,313 |
| Loss on disposition of fixed assets | | 2,913 | | 2,916 |
| Other non-cash adjustments | | 782 | | 777 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash resulting from changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of | | | | |
| acquisition | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | | (7,416) | | 6,018 |
| Inventories | | (20,582) | | (3,743) |
| Other assets | | (13,902) | | 3,550 |
| Accounts payable | | (6,270) | | (2,067) |
| Accrued liabilities | | (7,117) | | 9,768 |
| Other liabilities | | 117 | | 2,430 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | | 23,786 | | 96,086 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | |
| Purchase of property, equipment and satellites, net | | (133,313) | | (109,518) |
| Cash paid for patents, licenses and other assets | | (8,295) | | (8,427) |
| Payment related to acquisition of business, net of cash acquired | | | | (13,456) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (141,608) | | (131,401) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | |
| Proceeds from line of credit borrowings | | 130,000 | | 15,000 |
| Payments on line of credit | | (20,000) | | (30,000) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under equity plans | | 6,167 | | 16,234 |
| Purchase of common stock in treasury | | (2,250) | | (1,884) |
| Payments on capital lease | | (465) | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | | 113,452 | | (650) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | <u></u> | (113) | | 115 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | | (4,483) | | (35,850) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | | 40,490 | | 89,631 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 36,007 | \$ | 53,781 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | | |
| Issuance of common stock in satisfaction of certain accrued employee compensation liabilities | \$ | 6,340 | \$ | 5,096 |
| Issuance of common stock in connection with acquisition | \$ | | \$ | 4,630 |
| Equipment acquired under capital lease | \$ | _ | \$ | 2,704 |

VIASAT, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(In thousands, except share data)

| | | | Via | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| | Common S | tock | Common Stock Held Accumulated | | | | | | | |
| | Number of Shares | | Paid-in | Retained | in Trea | sury | Other Comprehensive | Noncontrolling Interest in | | Comprehensive |
| | Issued | Amount | Capital | Earnings | Shares | Amount | Income (Loss) | Subsidiary | Total | Income |
| Balance at April 1, 2011 | 42,225,130 | \$ 4 | \$601,029 | \$254,722 | (560,363) | \$(17,907) | \$ 2,277 | \$ 4,116 | \$844,241 | |
| Exercise of stock options | 219,114 | | 4,088 | | | | | | 4,088 | |
| Issuance of stock under | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employee Stock | | | | | | | | | | |
| Purchase Plan | 56,523 | _ | 2,079 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 2,079 | |
| Stock-based compensation | | | | | | | | | | |
| expense | _ | _ | 9,939 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 9,939 | |
| Shares issued in settlement | | | | | | | | | | |
| of certain accrued | | | | | | | | | | |
| employee compensation | | | | | | | | | | |
| liabilities | 156,825 | _ | 6,340 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 6,340 | |
| RSU awards vesting | 145,695 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| Purchase of treasury shares | | | | | | | | | | |
| pursuant to vesting of | | | | | | | | | | |
| certain RSU agreements | _ | _ | _ | _ | (51,403) | (2,250) | _ | _ | (2,250) | |
| Net income | _ | _ | _ | 9,734 | _ | _ | _ | 29 | 9,763 | \$ 9,763 |
| Hedging transactions, net | | | | | | | | | | |
| of tax | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | (721) | _ | (721) | (721) |
| Foreign currency | | | | | | | | | | |
| translation, net of tax | | _ | | | _ | _ | (694) | _ | (694) | (694) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | | | | \$ 8,348 |
| Balance at September 30, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011 | 42,803,287 | \$ 4 | \$623,475 | \$264,456 | (611,766) | \$(20,157) | \$ 862 | \$ 4,145 | \$872,785 | |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1 — Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2011, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, and the condensed consolidated statement of equity and comprehensive income for the six months ended September 30, 2011 have been prepared by the management of ViaSat, Inc. (also referred to hereafter as the Company or ViaSat), and have not been audited. These financial statements have been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended April 1, 2011 and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of the Company's results for the periods presented. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended April 1, 2011 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. Interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the full year. The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities and results of operations of ViaSat, its wholly owned subsidiaries and TrellisWare Technologies, Inc. (TrellisWare), a majority-owned subsidiary. All significant intercompany amounts have been eliminated.

The Company's fiscal year is the 52 or 53 weeks ending on the Friday closest to March 31 of the specified year. For example, references to fiscal year 2012 refer to the fiscal year ending on March 30, 2012. The Company's quarters for fiscal year 2012 end on July 1, 2011, September 30, 2011, December 30, 2011 and March 30, 2012. This results in a 53 week fiscal year approximately every four to five years. Fiscal years 2012 and 2011 are both 52-week years.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company completed the acquisition of Stonewood Group Limited (Stonewood), a privately held company registered in England and Wales. This acquisition was accounted for as a purchase and, accordingly, the condensed consolidated financial statements include the operating results of Stonewood from the date of acquisition (see Note 10).

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates have been prepared on the basis of the most current and best available information and actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include revenue recognition, stock-based compensation, self-insurance reserves, allowance for doubtful accounts, warranty accrual, valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets, patents, orbital slots and other licenses, software development, property, equipment and satellites, long-lived assets, derivatives, contingencies and income taxes including the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets.

Revenue recognition

A substantial portion of the Company's revenues are derived from long-term contracts requiring development and delivery of complex equipment built to customer specifications. Sales related to long-term contracts are accounted for under the authoritative guidance for the percentage-of-completion method of accounting (Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 605-35). Sales and earnings under these contracts are recorded either based on the ratio of actual costs incurred to date to total estimated costs expected to be incurred related to the contract or as products are shipped under the units-of-delivery method. Anticipated losses on contracts are recognized in full in the period in which losses become probable and estimable. Changes in estimates of profit or loss on contracts are included in earnings on a cumulative basis in the period the estimate is changed.

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company recorded an additional forward loss of \$8.5 million on a government satellite communication program due to the significant additional labor and material costs for rework and testing required to complete the program requirements and specifications. During the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, the Company recorded losses of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, related to loss contracts. Including this program, during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, the Company recorded losses of approximately \$0.7 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, related to loss contracts.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The Company also derives a substantial portion of its revenues from contracts and purchase orders where revenue is recorded on delivery of products or performance of services in accordance with the authoritative guidance for revenue recognition (ASC 605). Under this standard, the Company recognizes revenue when an arrangement exists, prices are determinable, collectability is reasonably assured and the goods or services have been delivered.

The Company also enters into certain leasing arrangements with customers and evaluates the contracts in accordance with the authoritative guidance for leases (ASC 840). The Company's accounting for equipment leases involves specific determinations under the authoritative guidance for leases, which often involve complex provisions and significant judgments. In accordance with the authoritative guidance for leases, the Company classifies the transactions as sales type or operating leases based on (1) review for transfers of ownership of the equipment to the lessee by the end of the lease term, (2) review of the lease terms to determine if it contains an option to purchase the leased equipment for a price which is sufficiently lower than the expected fair value of the equipment at the date of the option, (3) review of the lease term to determine if it is equal to or greater than 75% of the economic life of the equipment, and (4) review of the present value of the minimum lease payments to determine if they are equal to or greater than 90% of the fair market value of the equipment at the inception of the lease. Additionally, the Company considers the cancelability of the contract and any related uncertainty of collections or risk in recoverability of the lease investment at lease inception. Revenue from sales type leases is recognized at the inception of the lease or when the equipment has been delivered and installed at the customer site, if installation is required. Revenues from equipment rentals under operating leases are recognized as earned over the lease term, which is generally on a straight-line basis.

Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13 (ASU 2009-13), Revenue Recognition (ASC 605) Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements, which updates ASC 605-25, Revenue Recognition-Multiple element arrangements, of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) codification. ASU 2009-13 amended accounting guidance for revenue recognition to eliminate the use of the residual method and requires entities to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method. For substantially all of the arrangements with multiple deliverables, the Company allocates revenue to each element based on a selling price hierarchy at the arrangement inception. The selling price for each element is based upon the following selling price hierarchy: vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) if available, third party evidence (TPE) if VSOE is not available, or estimated selling price (ESP) if neither VSOE nor TPE are available (a description as to how the Company determines VSOE, TPE and ESP is provided below). If a tangible hardware systems product includes software, the Company determines whether the tangible hardware systems product and the software work together to deliver the product's essential functionality and, if so, the entire product is treated as a nonsoftware deliverable. The total arrangement consideration is allocated to each separate unit of accounting for each of the nonsoftware deliverables using the relative selling prices of each unit based on the aforementioned selling price hierarchy. Revenue for each separate unit of accounting is recognized when the applicable revenue recognition criteria for each element have been met.

To determine the selling price in multiple-element arrangements, the Company establishes VSOE of the selling price using the price charged for a deliverable when sold separately and for software license updates and product support and hardware systems support, based on the renewal rates offered to customers. For nonsoftware multiple-element arrangements, TPE is established by evaluating similar and/or interchangeable competitor products or services in standalone arrangements with similarly situated customers and/or agreements. If the Company is unable to determine the selling price because VSOE or TPE doesn't exist, the Company determines ESP for the purposes of allocating the arrangement by reviewing historical transactions, including transactions whereby the deliverable was sold on a standalone basis and considers several other external and internal factors including, but not limited to, pricing practices including discounting, margin objectives, competition, the geographies in which the Company offers its products and services, the type of customer (i.e., distributor, value added reseller, government agency or direct end user, among others) and the stage of the product lifecycle. The determination of ESP considers the Company's pricing model and go-to-market strategy. As the Company, or its competitors', pricing and go-to-market strategies evolve, the Company may modify its pricing practices in the future, which could result in changes to its determination of VSOE, TPE and ESP. As a result, the Company's future revenue recognition for multiple-element arrangements could differ materially from those in the current period. The Company adopted this authoritative guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 without a material impact on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In accordance with the authoritative guidance for shipping and handling fees and costs (ASC 605-45), the Company records shipping and handling costs billed to customers as a component of revenues, and shipping and handling costs incurred by the Company for inbound and outbound freight are recorded as a component of cost of revenues.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Collections in excess of revenues and deferred revenues represent cash collected from customers in advance of revenue recognition and are recorded in accrued liabilities for obligations within the next twelve months. Amounts for obligations extending beyond twelve months are recorded within other liabilities in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Contract costs on U.S. government contracts are subject to audit and negotiations with U.S. government representatives. The Company's incurred cost audits by the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) have not been completed for fiscal year 2003 and subsequent fiscal years. Although the Company has recorded contract revenues subsequent to fiscal year 2002 based upon an estimate of costs that the Company believes will be approved upon final audit or review, the Company does not know the outcome of any ongoing or future audits or reviews and adjustments, and if future adjustments exceed the Company's estimates, its profitability would be adversely affected. As of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, the Company had \$6.7 million in contract-related reserves for its estimate of potential refunds to customers for potential cost adjustments on several multi-year U.S. government cost reimbursable contracts (see Note 8).

Property, equipment and satellites

Equipment, computers and software, furniture and fixtures, the Company's ViaSat-1 high-capacity satellite, and related gateway and networking equipment under construction are recorded at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. The Company computes depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from one to twenty-four years. Leasehold improvements are capitalized and amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the life of the improvement. Costs incurred for additions to property, equipment and satellites, together with major renewals and betterments, are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining life of the underlying asset. Costs incurred for maintenance, repairs and minor renewals and betterments are charged to expense as incurred. When assets are sold or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation or amortization are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is recognized in operations.

Satellite costs, including launch services and insurance, are generally procured under long-term contracts that provide for payments over the contract periods and are capitalized as incurred. The Company is also constructing gateway facilities and network operations systems to support the ViaSat-1 satellite which are also capitalized as incurred. Interest expense is capitalized on the carrying value of the satellite, related gateway and networking equipment and other assets during the construction period, in accordance with the authoritative guidance for the capitalization of interest (ASC 835-20). With respect to ViaSat-1, related gateway and networking equipment and other assets currently under construction, the Company capitalized \$7.7 million and \$15.3 million of interest expense during the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$6.7 million and \$12.7 million of interest expense during the three and six months ended October 1, 2010, respectively.

As a result of the acquisition of WildBlue Holding, Inc. (WildBlue) in December 2009, the Company acquired the WildBlue-1 satellite (which was placed into service in March 2007), an exclusive prepaid lifetime capital lease of Ka-band capacity over the continental United States on Telesat Canada's Anik F2 satellite (which was placed into service in April 2005) and related gateway and networking equipment on both satellites. The acquired assets also included the indoor and outdoor customer premise equipment (CPE) units leased to subscribers under WildBlue's retail leasing program. The Company depreciates the satellites, gateway and networking equipment, CPE units and related installation costs over their estimated useful lives. The total cost and accumulated depreciation of CPE units included in property and equipment, net, as of September 30, 2011 was \$68.5 million and \$26.3 million, respectively. The total cost and accumulated depreciation of CPE units included in property and equipment, net, as of April 1, 2011 was \$61.6 million and \$19.2 million, respectively.

Occasionally, the Company may enter into capital lease arrangements for various machinery, equipment, computer-related equipment, software, furniture or fixtures. As of September 30, 2011, assets under capital leases totaled approximately \$3.1 million and accumulated amortization related to such capital leases was \$0.4 million. As of April 1, 2011, assets under capital leases totaled approximately \$3.1 million and had an immaterial amount of accumulated amortization. The Company records amortization of assets leased under capital lease arrangements within depreciation expense.

Patents, orbital slots and other licenses

The Company capitalizes the costs of obtaining or acquiring patents, orbital slots and other licenses. Amortization of intangible assets that have finite lives is provided for by the straight-line method over the shorter of the legal or estimated economic life. Total capitalized costs of \$3.2 million related to patents were included in other assets as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011. The Company has capitalized costs of \$5.9 million and \$5.7 million related to acquiring and obtaining orbital slots and other licenses,

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

included in other assets as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively. Accumulated amortization related to these assets was approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively. Amortization expense related to these assets was an immaterial amount for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, and approximately \$0.1 million for each of the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010. If a patent, orbital slot or orbital license is rejected, abandoned or otherwise invalidated, the unamortized cost is expensed in that period. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, the Company did not write off any material costs due to abandonment or impairment.

Software development

Costs of developing software for sale are charged to research and development expense when incurred, until technological feasibility has been established. Software development costs incurred from the time technological feasibility is reached until the product is available for general release to customers are capitalized and reported at the lower of unamortized cost or net realizable value. Once the product is available for general release, the software development costs are amortized based on the ratio of current to future revenue for each product with an annual minimum equal to straight-line amortization over the remaining estimated economic life of the product, generally within five years. Capitalized costs, net, of \$31.5 million and \$24.5 million related to software developed for resale were included in other assets as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively. The Company capitalized \$4.1 million and \$9.4 million of costs related to software developed for resale for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Amortization expense for software development costs was \$1.1 million and \$2.3 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. There was no amortization expense of software development costs for the three and six months ended October 1, 2010.

Self-insurance liabilities

The Company has self-insurance plans to retain a portion of the exposure for losses related to employee medical benefits and workers' compensation. The self-insurance policies provide for both specific and aggregate stop-loss limits. The Company utilizes internal actuarial methods as well as other historical information for the purpose of estimating ultimate costs for a particular policy year. Based on these actuarial methods, along with currently available information and insurance industry statistics, the Company's self-insurance liability for the plans was \$1.5 million as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011. The Company's estimate, which is subject to inherent variability, is based on average claims experience in the Company's industry and its own experience in terms of frequency and severity of claims, including asserted and unasserted claims incurred but not reported, with no explicit provision for adverse fluctuation from year to year. This variability may lead to ultimate payments being either greater or less than the amounts presented above. Self-insurance liabilities have been classified as a current liability in accrued liabilities in accordance with the estimated timing of the projected payments.

Indemnification provisions

In the ordinary course of business, the Company includes indemnification provisions in certain of its contracts, generally relating to parties with which the Company has commercial relations. Pursuant to these agreements, the Company will indemnify, hold harmless and agree to reimburse the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party, including but not limited to losses relating to third-party intellectual property claims. To date, there have not been any material costs incurred in connection with such indemnification clauses. The Company's insurance policies do not necessarily cover the cost of defending indemnification claims or providing indemnification, so if a claim was filed against the Company by any party that the Company has agreed to indemnify, the Company could incur substantial legal costs and damages. A claim would be accrued when a loss is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. At September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, no such amounts were accrued.

Simultaneously with the execution of the merger agreement relating to the acquisition of WildBlue, the Company entered into an indemnification agreement dated September 30, 2009 with several of the former stockholders of WildBlue pursuant to which such former stockholders agreed to indemnify the Company for costs which result from, relate to or arise out of potential claims and liabilities under various WildBlue contracts, an existing appraisal action regarding WildBlue's 2008 recapitalization, certain rights to acquire securities of WildBlue and a severance agreement. Under the indemnification agreement, the Company is required to pay up to \$0.5 million and has recorded a liability of \$0.5 million in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011 as an element of accrued liabilities.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Noncontrolling interest

A noncontrolling interest represents the equity interest in a subsidiary that is not attributable, either directly or indirectly, to the Company and is reported as equity of the Company, separately from the Company's controlling interest. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, net income or loss and other comprehensive income are reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements at the consolidated amounts, which include the amounts attributable to both the controlling and noncontrolling interest.

Common stock held in treasury

During the first six months of fiscal year 2012 and 2011, the Company issued 145,695 and 159,235 shares of common stock, respectively, based on the vesting terms of certain restricted stock unit agreements. In order for employees to satisfy minimum statutory employee tax withholding requirements related to the issuance of common stock underlying these restricted stock unit agreements, the Company repurchased 51,403 and 58,380 shares of common stock with a total value of \$2.3 million and \$1.9 million during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 and 2011, respectively. Repurchased shares of common stock of 611,766 and 560,363 were held in treasury as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively.

Derivatives

The Company enters into foreign currency forward and option contracts from time to time to hedge certain forecasted foreign currency transactions. Gains and losses arising from foreign currency forward and option contracts not designated as hedging instruments are recorded in other income (expense) as gains (losses) on derivative instruments. Gains and losses arising from the effective portion of foreign currency forward and option contracts which are designated as cash-flow hedging instruments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments until the underlying transaction affects the Company's earnings, at which time they are then recorded in the same income statement line as the underlying transaction.

The fair values of the Company's outstanding foreign currency forward contracts as of September 30, 2011 were as follows:

| | Asset Deriv | vatives | Liability Deri | ity Derivatives | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------|--|
| | Balance Sheet Classification | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Classification | Fair | r Value | |
| | | (In | thousands) | | | |
| <u>Derivatives designated as hedging instruments</u> | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Not applicable | \$ — | Accrued liabilities | \$ | 539 | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | \$ — | | \$ | 539 | |

The fair values of the Company's outstanding foreign currency forward contracts as of April 1, 2011 were as follows:

| | Asset Deriva | Asset Derivatives | | | bility Derivatives | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| | Balance Sheet Classification | | Fair Balance Sheet Classification | | Fair | Value | |
| | | | (In thousa | nds) | | | |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Other current assets | \$ | 182 | Not applicable | \$ | | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | \$ | 182 | | \$ | | |

The notional value of foreign currency forward contracts outstanding as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011 was \$12.6 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The effects of foreign currency forward contracts in cash flow hedging relationships during the three months ended September 30, 2011 were as follows:

| | | | | | | | | ount of ain or |
|--|------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | Location of Gain | | Loss) |
| | Ar | nount | Location of | Amo | ount of | or (Loss) | Rec | ognized |
| | of Gain or | | Gain or | Ga | nin or | Recognized in | in In | come on |
| | (Loss) | | (Loss) | | Loss) | Income on | | ivatives |
| | | ognized | Reclassified | Recl | assified | Derivatives | (Ineffective | |
| | | umulated | from | | rom | (Ineffective | Port | ion and |
| | (| OCI | Accumulated | | mulated | Portion and | | nount |
| | | on | OCI into | oc | I into | Amount Excluded | Exe | cluded |
| | | ivatives | Income | | come | from | | rom |
| | • | fective | (Effective | | fective | Effectiveness | | ctiveness |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Po | rtion) | Portion) | | rtion) | Testing) | Te | sting) |
| | | | (In | thousands | s) | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ | (670) | Cost of product revenues | \$ | (77) | Not applicable | \$ | |
| Total | \$ | (670) | | \$ | (77) | | \$ | |

The effects of foreign currency forward contracts in cash flow hedging relationships during the six months ended September 30, 2011 were as follows:

| <u>Derivatives in Cash Flow He</u> dging Relationships | rrency forward \$ (638) | | Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Gai (L4 Recla fro Accun OC1 Inc (Effe | unt of n or oss) sssified om nulated into ome exctive tion) | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) | G (Rec in Ir Der (Inc Por A Ex | nount of ain or Loss) cognized acome on rivatives effective tion and mount accluded from activeness esting) |
|--|-------------------------|-------|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ | (638) | Cost of product revenues | \$ | 83 | Not applicable | \$ | _ |
| Total | \$ | (638) | | \$ | 83 | | \$ | |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The effects of foreign currency forward contracts in cash flow hedging relationships during the three months ended October 1, 2010 were as follows:

| | | | | | | | | ount of ain or | |
|--|----------|--------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|--|
| | Ame | ount | | | | | | Loss) | |
| | of Ga | in or | Location of | Amo | unt of | Location of Gain | Rec | ognized | |
| | (Lo | oss) | Gain or | Ga | in or | or (Loss) | in In | come on | |
| | Recog | gnized | (Loss) | | oss) | Recognized in | | ivatives | |
| | ir | n | Reclassified | Recla | ssified | Income on | | effective | |
| | Accum | | from Accumulated | | om | Derivatives | Port | tion and | |
| | OCI | | | Accumulated | | (Ineffective | | Amount | |
| | on | | OCI into | OCI into | | Portion and | Excluded | | |
| | Deriv | | Income | Income | | Amount Excluded | from | | |
| | (Effe | | (Effective | (Effective | | from Effectiveness | | ctiveness | |
| <u>Derivatives in Cash Flow He</u> dging Relationships | Port | tion) | Portion) | Portion) | | Testing) | Te | esting) | |
| | | | | (In thousan | ds) | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward | | | | | | | | | |
| contracts | \$ | 369 | Cost of product revenues | \$ | 211 | Not applicable | \$ | | |
| T-4-1 | ď | 200 | | ¢ | 211 | | ď | | |
| Total | 3 | 369 | | D | 211 | | <u> </u> | | |

The effects of foreign currency forward contracts in cash flow hedging relationships during the six months ended October 1, 2010 were as follows:

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships | Amo of Ga (Lo Recog ir Accum O(on Deriva (Effec Port | in or ss) nized ulated CI ntives | Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Ga (L Recla fr Accun OC Inc (Eff Por | unt of in or oss) sssified om nulated i into ome ective tion) | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness | Ga (U Rece in In Der (Ine Port An Ex f Effec | ount of ain or Loss) ognized come on ivatives effective tion and nount coluded from ctiveness esting) |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | (In thousan | ds) | | | |
| Foreign currency forward | | | | | | | | |
| contracts | \$ | 280 | Cost of product revenues | \$ | 202 | Not applicable | \$ | _ |
| Total | \$ | 280 | | \$ | 202 | | \$ | |

At September 30, 2011, the estimated net amount of unrealized gains or losses on foreign currency cash flow hedges that is expected to be reclassified to earnings within the next twelve months is approximately \$0.4 million. Foreign currency forward contracts usually mature within approximately eighteen months from their inception. There were no gains or losses from ineffectiveness of these derivative instruments recorded for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010.

Stock-based compensation

In accordance with the authoritative guidance for share-based payments (ASC 718), the Company measures stock-based compensation cost at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and recognizes expense on a straight-line basis

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

over the requisite service period of the employee's award. Stock-based compensation expense is recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 only for those awards ultimately expected to vest, with forfeitures estimated at the date of grant. The authoritative guidance for share-based payments requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The Company recognized \$4.8 million and \$9.0 million of stock-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$4.1 million and \$8.3 million of stock-based compensation expense for the three and six months ended October 1, 2010, respectively.

For the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, the Company recorded no incremental tax benefits from stock options exercised and restricted stock unit award vesting as the excess tax benefit from stock options exercised and restricted stock unit award vesting increased the Company's net operating loss carryforward.

Income taxes

Accruals for uncertain tax positions are provided for in accordance with the authoritative guidance for accounting for uncertainty in income taxes (ASC 740). The Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The authoritative guidance for accounting for uncertainty in income taxes also provides guidance on derecognition of income tax assets and liabilities, classification of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, accounting for interest and penalties associated with tax positions, and income tax disclosures. The Company's policy is to recognize interest expense and penalties related to income tax matters as a component of income tax expense.

Current income tax expense is the amount of income taxes expected to be payable for the current year. A deferred income tax asset or liability is established for the expected future tax consequences resulting from differences in the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and for the expected future tax benefit to be derived from tax credit and loss carryforwards. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred income tax expense (benefit) is the net change during the year in the deferred income tax asset or liability.

Recent authoritative guidance

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables (ASU 2009-13, which updated ASC 605-25). This new guidance impacts the determination of when the individual deliverables included in a multiple-element arrangement may be treated as separate units of accounting. Additionally, this authoritative guidance modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across the separately identified deliverables by no longer permitting the residual method of allocating arrangement consideration. The Company adopted this authoritative guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 without a material impact on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (ASC 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The new authoritative guidance results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between GAAP and IFRS. While many of the amendments to GAAP are not expected to have a significant effect on practice, the new guidance changes some fair value measurement principles and disclosure requirements. This authoritative guidance is effective for the Company beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012. Adoption of this authoritative guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (ASC 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The new authoritative guidance requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The new authoritative guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. This authoritative guidance will be effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 and should be applied retrospectively; however, early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the authoritative guidance may have on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (ASU 2011-08): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The new authoritative guidance simplifies how an entity tests goodwill for impairment. The new authoritative guidance allows an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. The two-step quantitative impairment test is required only if, based on its qualitative assessment, an entity determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. This authoritative guidance is effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this authoritative guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

Note 2 — Composition of Certain Balance Sheet Captions

| | Septo | As of <u>September 30, 2011</u> (In thousar | |
|---|-------------|---|------------|
| Accounts receivable, net: | | ` | ĺ |
| Billed | \$ | 97,979 | \$ 100,863 |
| Unbilled | | 101,415 | 91,519 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | (906) | (493) |
| | \$ | 198,488 | \$ 191,889 |
| Inventories: | | | |
| Raw materials | \$ | 49,905 | \$ 46,651 |
| Work in process | | 20,658 | 18,713 |
| Finished goods | | 51,780 | 33,191 |
| | \$ | 122,343 | \$ 98,555 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets: | | <u> </u> | |
| Prepaid expenses | \$ | 27,380 | \$ 18,235 |
| Income tax receivable | , | 155 | 26 |
| Other | | 17,033 | 2,880 |
| | \$ | 44,568 | \$ 21,141 |
| Satellites, net: | <u>Ψ</u> | 11,500 | Ψ 21,111 |
| Satellite — WildBlue-1 (estimated useful life of 10 years) | \$ | 195,890 | \$ 195,890 |
| Capital lease of satellite capacity — Anik F2 (estimated useful life of 10 years) | Þ | 99,090 | 99,090 |
| Satellite under construction | | 329,053 | 276,418 |
| Saternite under construction | | | 571,398 |
| Loss assumulated depresention and amountination | | 624,033 | |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | ф. | (53,080) | (38,398) |
| | <u>\$</u> | 570,953 | \$ 533,000 |
| Property and equipment, net: | | | |
| Machinery and equipment (estimated useful life of 2-5 years) | \$ | 140,351 | \$ 122,113 |
| Computer equipment and software (estimated useful life of 2-7 years) | | 98,402 | 66,768 |
| CPE leased equipment (estimated useful life of 3-5 years) | | 68,477 | 61,610 |
| Furniture and fixtures (estimated useful life of 7 years) | | 13,330 | 13,053 |
| Leasehold improvements (estimated useful life of 1-15 years) | | 25,106 | 24,550 |
| Building (estimated useful life of 24 years) | | 8,923 | 8,923 |
| Land | | 4,384 | 4,384 |
| Construction in progress | | 83,150 | 80,976 |
| | | 442,123 | 382,377 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | | (178,176) | (149,238) |
| | <u>\$</u> | 263,947 | \$ 233,139 |
| Other acquired intangible assets, net: | | | |
| Technology (weighted average useful life of 6 years) | \$ | 54,088 | \$ 54,344 |
| Contracts and customer relationships (weighted average useful life of 7 years) | | 88,773 | 88,834 |
| Non-compete agreements (weighted average useful life of 4 years) | | 9,331 | 9,332 |
| Satellite co-location rights (weighted average useful life of 9 years) | | 8,600 | 8,600 |
| Trade name (weighted average useful life of 3 years) | | 5,680 | 5,680 |
| Other (weighted average useful life of 6 years) | | 9,332 | 9,331 |
| | | 175,804 | 176,121 |
| Less accumulated amortization | | (103,725) | (94,232) |
| | \$ | 72,079 | \$ 81,889 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

| \$ 7,493 | \$ 8,014 |
|-------------|---|
| 15,931 | 15,600 |
| 13,243 | 18,804 |
| 59,834 | 61,916 |
| 20,808 | 26,249 |
| \$117,309 | \$130,583 |
| | |
| \$ 2,217 | \$ 2,217 |
| 6,962 | 6,363 |
| 7,047 | 6,960 |
| 3,297 | 3,374 |
| 4,899 | 4,928 |
| \$ 24,422 | \$ 23,842 |
| | 15,931 13,243 59,834 20,808 \$117,309 \$ 2,217 6,962 7,047 3,297 4,899 |

Note 3 — Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with the authoritative guidance for financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis (ASC 820), the Company prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value from market-based assumptions to entity specific assumptions:

- · Level 1 Inputs based on quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 Inputs which reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. The inputs are unobservable in the market and significant to the instruments valuation.

The following tables present the Company's hierarchy for its assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011:

| | Fair V | alue as of | | | |
|---|---------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Septeml | oer 30, 2011 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Acceta | | | (In thousands |) | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ | 1,593 | \$1,593 | <u>\$ —</u> | <u>\$ —</u> |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$ | 1,593 | \$1,593 | <u>\$ —</u> <u>\$ —</u> | \$ — |
| Liabilities | - | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | \$ | 539 | \$ — | \$ 539 | \$ — |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$ | 539 | \$ — | \$ 539 | <u>\$ —</u> <u>\$ —</u> |
| | Fair | Value as of | | | |
| | _Ap | ril 1, 2011 | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | | | (In thousand | is) | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ | 4,488 | \$4,488 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | | 182 | | 182 | |
| Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | \$ | 4,670 | \$4,488 | \$ 182 | \$ — |

The following section describes the valuation methodologies the Company uses to measure financial instruments at fair value:

Cash equivalents — The Company's cash equivalents consist of money market funds. Money market funds are valued using quoted prices for identical assets in an active market with sufficient volume and frequency of transactions.

Foreign currency forward contracts — The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage foreign currency risk relating to foreign exchange rates. The Company does not use these instruments for speculative or trading purposes. The Company's objective is to reduce the risk to earnings and cash flows associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Derivative

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and are measured at fair value. Gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair values of those derivative instruments are recorded to earnings or other comprehensive income (loss) depending on the use of the derivative instrument and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting. The Company's foreign currency forward contracts are valued using quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Long-term debt — The Company's long-term debt consists of borrowings under the revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility) reported at the borrowed outstanding amount, capital lease obligations reported at the present value of future minimum lease payments with current accrued interest, and the Company's 8.875% Senior Notes due 2016 (the Notes) reported at amortized cost. However, for disclosure purposes, the Company is required to measure the fair value of outstanding debt on a recurring basis. The fair value of the Company's outstanding long-term debt related to the Notes is determined using quoted prices in active markets and was approximately \$279.1 million and \$293.6 million as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt related to the Credit Facility approximates its carrying amount due to its variable interest rate on the revolving line of credit, which approximates a market interest rate. The fair value of the Company's capital lease obligations is estimated at their carrying value based on current rates.

Note 4 — Shares Used In Computing Diluted Net Income Per Share

| | Three Mor | nths Ended | Six Month | s Ended |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | September 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 |
| | | (In thou | sands) | |
| Weighted average: | | | | |
| Common shares outstanding used in calculating basic net | | | | |
| income per share attributable to ViaSat, Inc. common | | | | |
| stockholders | 42,142 | 40,640 | 41,972 | 40,304 |
| Options to purchase common stock as determined by application | | | | |
| of the treasury stock method | 1,311 | 1,625 | 1,375 | 1,624 |
| Restricted stock units to acquire common stock as determined by | | | | |
| application of the treasury stock method | 348 | 385 | 377 | 411 |
| Potentially issuable shares in connection with certain terms of | | | | |
| the amended ViaSat 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan | 83 | 59 | 114 | 99 |
| Employee Stock Purchase Plan equivalents | 10 | 8 | 22 | 28 |
| Shares used in computing diluted net income per share | | | | |
| attributable to ViaSat, Inc. common stockholders | 43,894 | 42,717 | 43,860 | 42,466 |

Antidilutive shares relating to stock options excluded from the calculation were 281,250 and 246,387 shares for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and for the three and six months ended October 1, 2010 antidilutive shares relating to stock options excluded from the calculation were 348,997 and 347,348 shares, respectively.

Antidilutive shares relating to restricted stock units excluded from the calculation were 13,356 and 9,090 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and for the three and six months ended October 1, 2010 antidilutive shares relating to restricted stock units excluded from the calculation were 1,055 and 527, respectively.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 5 — Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

During fiscal year 2012, the Company's goodwill decreased by approximately \$0.2 million related to the effects of foreign currency translation recorded within the Company's government systems and commercial networks segments. Other acquired intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives of eight months to ten years. Amortization expense related to other acquired intangible assets was \$4.8 million and \$5.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively, and \$9.5 million and \$9.7 million for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively.

The expected amortization expense of amortizable acquired intangible assets may change due to the effects of foreign currency fluctuations as a result of international businesses acquired. Current and expected amortization expense for acquired intangible assets for each of the following periods is as follows:

| | _ | ortization thousands) |
|--|----|--------------------------|
| For the six months ended September 30, 2011 | \$ | 9,539 |
| | | |
| Expected for the remainder of fiscal year 2012 | \$ | 9,179 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2013 | | 15,555 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2014 | | 13,811 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2015 | | 13,735 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2016 | | 10,181 |
| Thereafter | | 9,618 |
| | \$ | 72,079 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 6 — Senior Notes and Other Long-Term Debt

Total long-term debt consisted of the following as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011:

| | Septe | As of mber 30, 2011 | As of April 1, 2011 |
|---|-------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | | (In thou | |
| Senior Notes due 2016 (the Notes) | | | |
| Notes | \$ | 275,000 | \$ 275,000 |
| Unamortized discount on the Notes | | (2,456) | (2,704) |
| Total Notes, net of discount | | 272,544 | 272,296 |
| Less: current portion of the Notes | | <u> </u> | |
| Total Notes long-term, net | | 272,544 | 272,296 |
| Other Long-Term Debt | | | |
| Line of credit | | 170,000 | 60,000 |
| Capital lease obligations | | 2,613 | 3,074 |
| Total other long-term debt | | 172,613 | 63,074 |
| Less: current portion of other long-term debt | | 1,212 | 1,128 |
| Other long-term debt, net | | 171,401 | 61,946 |
| Total debt | | 445,157 | 335,370 |
| Less: current portion | | 1,212 | 1,128 |
| Long-term debt, net | \$ | 443,945 | \$ 334,242 |

Senior Notes due 2016

In October 2009, the Company issued \$275.0 million in principal amount of Notes in a private placement to institutional buyers, which Notes were exchanged in May 2010 for substantially identical Notes that had been registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The Notes bear interest at the rate of 8.875% per year, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears, which interest payments commenced in March 2010. The Notes were issued with an original issue discount of 1.24%, or \$3.4 million. The Notes are recorded as long-term debt, net of original issue discount, in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The original issue discount and deferred financing cost associated with the issuance of the Notes is amortized to interest expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the Notes.

The Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior basis by each of the Company's existing and future subsidiaries that guarantees the Credit Facility (the Guarantor Subsidiaries). The Notes and the guarantees are the Company's and the Guarantor Subsidiaries' general senior unsecured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of the Company's existing and future unsecured unsubordinated debt. The Notes and the guarantees are effectively junior in right of payment to their existing and future secured debt, including under the Credit Facility (to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt), are structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities (including trade payables) of the Company's subsidiaries that are not guarantors of the Notes, and are senior in right of payment to all of their existing and future subordinated indebtedness.

The indenture governing the Notes limits, among other things, the Company's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to: incur, assume or guarantee additional debt; issue redeemable stock and preferred stock; pay dividends, make distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock; prepay, redeem or repurchase subordinated debt; make loans and investments; grant or incur liens; restrict dividends, loans or asset transfers from restricted subsidiaries; sell or otherwise dispose of assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; reduce the Company's satellite insurance; and consolidate or merge with, or sell substantially all of their assets to, another person.

Prior to September 15, 2012, the Company may redeem a portion of the Notes at the redemption price specified in the indenture, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, thereon to the redemption date, from the net cash proceeds of specified equity offerings. The Company may also redeem the Notes prior to September 15, 2012, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof plus the applicable "make-whole" premium and any accrued and unpaid interest, if any, thereon to the redemption date. The Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time from September 15, 2012 at a fixed redemption price that declines ratably over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, thereon to the redemption date. For more information regarding the applicable redemption prices, see "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Liquidity and Capital Resources" of this Quarterly Report.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

In the event a change of control occurs (as defined in the indenture), each holder will have the right to require the Company to repurchase all or any part of such holder's Notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase (subject to the right of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date).

Credit Facility

As of September 30, 2011, the Credit Facility, as amended, provided a revolving line of credit of \$325.0 million (including up to \$35.0 million of letters of credit), with a maturity date of January 25, 2016. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest, at the Company's option, at either (1) the highest of the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, the Eurodollar rate plus 1.00% or the administrative agent's prime rate as announced from time to time, or (2) at the Eurodollar rate plus, in the case of each of (1) and (2), an applicable margin that is based on the ratio of the Company's debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) as defined in the Credit Facility. At September 30, 2011, the weighted average effective interest rate on the Company's outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility was 3.23%. The Company has capitalized certain amounts of interest expense on the Credit Facility in connection with the construction of ViaSat-1, related gateway and networking equipment and other assets currently under construction. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of the Company's domestic subsidiaries and collateralized by substantially all of the Company's and the Guarantor Subsidiaries' assets. Subsequent to the quarter end, on October 31, 2011, the Company amended the Credit Facility to revise the definition of EBITDA for certain earnings impacts related to the delay in the launch of its ViaSat-1 satellite.

The Credit Facility contains financial covenants regarding a maximum leverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. In addition, the Credit Facility contains covenants that restrict, among other things, the Company's ability to sell assets, make investments and acquisitions, make capital expenditures, grant liens, pay dividends and make certain other restricted payments.

The Company was in compliance with its financial covenants under the Credit Facility as of September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2011, the Company had \$170.0 million in principal amount of outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility and \$8.8 million outstanding under standby letters of credit, leaving borrowing availability under the Credit Facility as of September 30, 2011 of \$146.2 million.

Capital leases

Occasionally, the Company may enter into capital lease agreements for various machinery, equipment, computer-related equipment, software, furniture or fixtures. As of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, the Company had approximately \$2.6 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, outstanding under capital leases payable over a weighted average period of 36 months, due fiscal years 2014 through 2015. These lease agreements bear interest at a weighted average rate of 4.65% and can be extended on a month-to-month basis after the original term.

Note 7 — Product Warranty

The Company provides limited warranties on its products for periods of up to five years. The Company records a liability for its warranty obligations when products are shipped or they are included in long-term construction contracts based upon an estimate of expected warranty costs. Amounts expected to be incurred within twelve months are classified as a current liability. For mature products, the warranty cost estimates are based on historical experience with the particular product. For newer products that do not have a history of warranty cost, the Company bases its estimates on its experience with the technology involved and the type of failures that may occur. It is possible that the Company's underlying assumptions will not reflect the actual experience and in that case, future adjustments will be made to the recorded warranty obligation. The following table reflects the change in the Company's warranty accrual during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010.

| | Six Months Ended | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|----|-----------------|--|--|
| | September 30, 2011 | | | October 1, 2010 | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 12,942 | \$ | 11,208 | | |
| Change in liability for warranties issued in period | | 2,922 | | 4,220 | | |
| Settlements made (in cash or in kind) during the period | | (3,472) | | (3,086) | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 12,392 | \$ | 12,342 | | |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 8 — Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in a variety of claims, suits, investigations and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including actions with respect to intellectual property claims, breach of contract claims, labor and employment claims, tax and other matters. Although claims, suits, investigations and proceedings are inherently uncertain and their results cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company believes that the resolution of its current pending matters will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

The Company has contracts with various U.S. government agencies. Accordingly, the Company is routinely subject to audit and review by the DCAA and other U.S. government agencies for its performance on government contracts, indirect rates and pricing practices, accounting and management internal control systems, and compliance with applicable contracting and procurement laws, regulations and standards. Such audits or reviews could result in significant customer refunds, penalties and sanctions against the Company, and could adversely affect the Company's ability to compete for contracts, perform contracts or receive timely payment on contracts. The Company's incurred cost audits by the DCAA have not been completed for fiscal year 2003 and subsequent fiscal years. Although the Company has recorded contract revenues subsequent to fiscal year 2002 based upon an estimate of costs that the Company believes will be approved upon final audit or review, the Company does not know the outcome of any ongoing or future audits or reviews and adjustments, and if future adjustments exceed the Company's estimates, its profitability would be adversely affected. As of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, the Company had \$6.7 million in contract-related reserves for its estimate of potential refunds to customers for potential cost adjustments on several multi-year U.S. government cost reimbursable contracts. This reserve is classified as either an element of accrued liabilities or as a reduction of unbilled accounts receivable based on status of the related contracts.

Note 9 — Income Taxes

For the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, the Company recorded income tax benefits of \$3.4 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. The Company currently estimates its annual effective income tax rate to be a benefit of approximately 54.8% for fiscal year 2012, compared to the actual zero effective income tax rate in fiscal year 2011. The estimated annual effective income tax benefit rate for fiscal year 2012 is primarily a result of expected federal and state research and development tax credits in excess of taxes at the statutory rate on projected pre-tax income for the year. The benefit rate reflects the December 31, 2011 expiration of the federal research and development tax credit is reinstated, the Company may have a higher annual effective tax benefit rate for fiscal year 2012, and the amount of any such increase in the tax benefit rate will depend on the effective date of any such reinstatement, the terms of the reinstated period.

For the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$2.2 million and \$3.1 million, respectively. In the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will decrease by up to approximately \$3.1 million as a result of the expiration of the statute of limitations or settlements with tax authorities for previously filed tax returns.

Note 10 — Acquisition

On July 8, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of all outstanding shares of the parent company of Stonewood. Stonewood is a leader in the design, manufacture and delivery of data at rest encryption products and services. Stonewood products are used to encrypt data on computer hard drives so that a lost or stolen laptop does not result in the compromise of classified information or the loss of intellectual property. These products enhance the Company's current encryption security offerings within the Company's information assurance products in the government systems segment. The purchase price of approximately \$18.8 million was comprised of \$4.6 million related to the fair value of 144,962 shares of the Company's common stock issued at the closing and \$14.2 million in cash consideration paid to former Stonewood stockholders. The \$14.2 million in cash consideration paid to the former Stonewood stockholders less cash acquired of \$0.7 million resulted in a net cash outlay of approximately \$13.5 million. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase and accordingly, the condensed consolidated financial statements include the operating results of Stonewood from the date of acquisition.

Note 11 — Segment Information

The Company's reporting segments, comprised of the government systems, commercial networks and satellite services segments, are primarily distinguished by the type of customer and the related contractual requirements. The Company's government systems

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

segment develops and produces network-centric, IP-based secure government communications systems, products and solutions. The more regulated government environment is subject to unique contractual requirements and possesses economic characteristics which differ from the commercial networks and satellite services segments. The Company's commercial networks segment develops and produces a variety of advanced end-to-end satellite communication systems and ground networking equipment and products. The Company's satellite services segment complements both the government systems and commercial networks segments by providing wholesale and retail satellite-based broadband internet services in the United States via the Company's satellite and capacity agreements, as well as managed network services for the satellite communication systems of the Company's consumer, enterprise and mobile broadband customers. The Company's satellite services segment includes the Company's WildBlue business and the Company's ViaSat-1 satellite-related activities. The Company's segments are determined consistent with the way management currently organizes and evaluates financial information internally for making operating decisions and assessing performance.

As discussed further in Note 1, included in the government systems segment operating profit for the six months ended October 1, 2010 is an \$8.5 million forward loss recorded on a government satellite communications program. Segment revenues and operating profits (losses) for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 were as follows:

| | | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months | ıs Ended | |
|---|----------------|--------------------|-----|-----------------|----|---------------|----------|--------------|
| | Septe | mber 30, 2011 | Oct | October 1, 2010 | | mber 30, 2011 | Oct | ober 1, 2010 |
| Revenues | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Government Systems | | | | | | | | |
| Product | \$ | 86,621 | \$ | 86,530 | \$ | 161,220 | \$ | 168,362 |
| Service | • | 16,789 | • | 8,407 | - | 28,359 | • | 15,420 |
| Total | | 103,410 | | 94,937 | | 189,579 | | 183,782 |
| Commercial Networks | | 100, 110 | | 3 1,557 | | 100,070 | | 100,702 |
| Product | | 59,063 | | 39,431 | | 106,359 | | 82,049 |
| Service | | 5,136 | | 4,881 | | 9,909 | | 7,882 |
| Total | | 64,199 | | 44,312 | | 116,268 | | 89,931 |
| Satellite Services | | · | | • | | ŕ | | |
| Product | | 927 | | 1,625 | | 1,578 | | 2,177 |
| Service | | 54,488 | | 57,015 | | 110,700 | | 114,003 |
| Total | | 55,415 | | 58,640 | | 112,278 | | 116,180 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues | | _ | | _ | | _ | | _ |
| Total revenues | \$ | 223,024 | \$ | 197,889 | \$ | 418,125 | \$ | 389,893 |
| Operating profits (losses) | | | | - | | | | |
| Government Systems | \$ | 14,333 | \$ | 12,808 | \$ | 21,713 | \$ | 14,466 |
| Commercial Networks | Ψ | (2,871) | Ψ | (2,347) | Ψ | (6,111) | Ψ | (3,517) |
| Satellite Services | | (1,739) | | 7,706 | | 194 | | 19,167 |
| Elimination of intersegment operating profits | | _ | | _ | | _ | | _ |
| Segment operating profit before corporate and amortization of | | | | | | | | |
| acquired intangible assets | | 9,723 | | 18,167 | | 15,796 | | 30,116 |
| Corporate | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 44 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | | (4,767) | | (5,094) | | (9,539) | | (9,704) |
| Income from operations | \$ | 4,956 | \$ | 13,073 | \$ | 6,257 | \$ | 20,456 |

Assets identifiable to segments include: accounts receivable, unbilled accounts receivable, inventory, acquired intangible assets and goodwill. Segment assets as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011 were as follows:

| | Sept | As of ember 30, 2011 | As of April 1, 2011 | |
|----------------------|------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | (In thous | nds) | |
| Segment assets | | | | |
| Government Systems | \$ | 231,920 | \$ 228,194 | |
| Commercial Networks | | 152,389 | 133,158 | |
| Satellite Services | | 91,858 | 93,857 | |
| Total segment assets | | 476,167 | 455,209 | |
| Corporate assets | | 1,049,400 | 950,539 | |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,525,567 | \$1,405,748 | |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Other acquired intangible assets, net and goodwill included in segment assets as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011 were as follows:

| | | Other Acquired | Intangible | e | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------|----|-------------|
| | | Assets, N | Net | | Goodwill | | | |
| | Septen | nber 30, 2011 | Apr | ril 1, 2011 | September 30, 2011 | | Ap | ril 1, 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Government Systems | \$ | 9,580 | \$ | 11,157 | \$ | 29,777 | \$ | 30,023 |
| Commercial Networks | | 3,634 | | 5,391 | | 43,759 | | 43,700 |
| Satellite Services | | 58,865 | | 65,341 | | 9,809 | | 9,809 |
| Total | \$ | 72,079 | | 81,889 | \$ | 83,345 | \$ | 83,532 |

Amortization of acquired intangible assets by segment for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 was as follows:

| | | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | | |
|--|--------|--------------------|----|-----------------|---------|------------------|----|---------------|------|-------------|
| | Septem | September 30, 2011 | | October 1, 2010 | | October 1, 2010 | | ıber 30, 2011 | Octo | ber 1, 2010 |
| | | | | (In tho | usands) | | | | | |
| Government Systems | \$ | 645 | \$ | 823 | \$ | 1,296 | \$ | 1,092 | | |
| Commercial Networks | | 884 | | 1,033 | | 1,767 | | 2,136 | | |
| Satellite Services | | 3,238 | | 3,238 | | 6,476 | | 6,476 | | |
| Total amortization of acquired intangible assets | \$ | 4,767 | \$ | 5,094 | \$ | 9,539 | \$ | 9,704 | | |

Revenue information by geographic area for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Six Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|---------|----|---------------|
| | Septen | nber 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | | September 30, 2011 | | 00 | tober 1, 2010 |
| | | | | (In th | nousands) | | | |
| United States | \$ | 175,760 | \$ | 166,935 | \$ | 329,961 | \$ | 328,100 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa | | 30,336 | | 21,587 | | 57,240 | | 42,201 |
| Asia, Pacific | | 4,819 | | 6,012 | | 11,997 | | 12,845 |
| North America other than United States | | 5,489 | | 1,777 | | 9,713 | | 3,099 |
| Central and Latin America | | 6,620 | | 1,578 | | 9,214 | | 3,648 |
| Total revenues | \$ | 223,024 | \$ | 197,889 | \$ | 418,125 | \$ | 389,893 |

The Company distinguishes revenues from external customers by geographic area based on customer location.

The net book value of long-lived assets located outside the United States was \$8.2 million and \$7.9 million at September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 12 — Certain Relationships and Related-Party Transactions

Michael Targoff, a director of the Company since February 2003, currently serves as the Chief Executive Officer and the Vice Chairman of the board of directors of Loral Space & Communications, Inc. (Loral), the parent of Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (SS/L), and is also a director of Telesat Holdings, Inc., a joint venture company formed by Loral and the Public Sector Pension Investment Board to acquire Telesat Canada in October 2007. John Stenbit, a director of the Company since August 2004, also currently serves on the board of directors of Loral.

In January 2008, the Company entered into a satellite construction contract with SS/L under which the Company purchased a new high-capacity Ka-band spot-beam satellite (ViaSat-1) designed by the Company and constructed by SS/L for approximately \$209.1 million, subject to purchase price adjustments based on satellite performance. In addition, the Company entered into a beam sharing agreement with Loral, whereby Loral is responsible for contributing 15% of the total costs (estimated at approximately \$57.6 million) associated with the ViaSat-1 satellite project. The Company's purchase of the ViaSat-1 satellite from SS/L was approved by the disinterested members of the Company's Board that the terms and conditions of the purchase were fair to and in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. On March 1, 2011, Loral entered into agreements with Telesat Canada pursuant to which Loral assigned to Telesat Canada and Telesat Canada assumed from Loral all of Loral's rights and obligations with respect to the Canadian beams on ViaSat-1.

During the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, under the satellite construction contract, the Company paid \$1.3 million and \$19.2 million, respectively, to SS/L and had \$1.3 million outstanding payables as of September 30, 2011 and no payables as of April 1, 2011. During the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, the Company also received less than \$0.1 million and \$6.0 million of cash, respectively, from SS/L under the beam sharing agreement with Loral. Accounts receivable due from SS/L were \$12.2 million as of September 30, 2011, and an immaterial amount as of April 1, 2011 included in prepaid expenses and other current assets. All other amounts related to SS/L under the ViaSat-1 related satellite contracts were not material.

From time to time, the Company enters into various contracts in the ordinary course of business with SS/L and Telesat Canada. Under a contract with SS/L, the Company recognized an immaterial amount and \$1.5 million in revenue during the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. There were no collections in excess of revenues and deferred revenues related to a contract with SS/L as of September 30, 2011 and there were \$1.4 million in such collections as of April 1, 2011. The Company received cash of \$1.9 million and an immaterial amount from Telesat Canada during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively. During the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, the Company paid \$4.8 million and \$4.7 million, respectively, to Telesat Canada. The Company also recognized \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million of expense related to Telesat Canada during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively. All other amounts related to SS/L and Telesat Canada, excluding activities under the ViaSat-1 related satellite contracts, were not material.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 13 — Financial Statements of Parent and Subsidiary Guarantors

In October 2009, the Company issued \$275.0 million in principal amount of Notes in a private placement to institutional buyers. The Notes were exchanged in May 2010 for substantially identical Notes that had been registered with the SEC. The Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a full and unconditional basis by each of the Guarantor Subsidiaries, which are 100% owned by the Company. The indenture governing the Notes limits, among other things, the Company's and its restricted subsidiaries' ability to: incur, assume or guarantee additional debt; issue redeemable stock and preferred stock; pay dividends, make distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock; prepay, redeem or repurchase subordinated debt; make loans and investments; grant or incur liens; restrict dividends, loans or asset transfers from restricted subsidiaries; sell or otherwise dispose of assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; reduce the Company's satellite insurance; and consolidate or merge with, or sell substantially all of their assets to, another person.

The following supplemental financial information sets forth, on a condensed consolidating basis, the balance sheets, statements of operations and statements of cash flows for the Company (as "Issuing Parent Company"), the Guarantor Subsidiaries, the non-guarantor subsidiaries and total consolidated Company and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011 and for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010.

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2011

| | | ing Parent Company | | narantor osidiaries | Su | -Guarantor bsidiaries dited, in thous | | nsolidation and Elimination Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|------|-----------------------|------|------------------------|----|---|----|---|--------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | | , | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 24,045 | \$ | 1,361 | \$ | 10,601 | \$ | _ | \$ 36,007 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 180,620 | | 10,907 | | 6,961 | | _ | 198,488 |
| Inventories | | 109,048 | | 9,467 | | 3,828 | | _ | 122,343 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 16,428 | | 1,723 | | 162 | | _ | 18,313 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 36,690 | | 7,241 | | 637 | | <u> </u> | 44,568 |
| Total current assets | | 366,831 | | 30,699 | | 22,189 | | _ | 419,719 |
| Satellites, net | | 329,053 | 2 | 241,900 | | _ | | _ | 570,953 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 161,748 | | 96,466 | | 5,733 | | _ | 263,947 |
| Other acquired intangible assets, net | | 4,261 | | 58,865 | | 8,953 | | _ | 72,079 |
| Goodwill | | 63,939 | | 9,687 | | 9,719 | | _ | 83,345 |
| Investments in subsidiaries and intercompany receivables | | 461,933 | | 4,259 | | 788 | | (466,980) | _ |
| Other assets | | 98,502 | | 16,443 | | 579 | | _ | 115,524 |
| Total assets | \$ 1 | 1,486,267 | \$ 4 | 158,319 | \$ | 47,961 | \$ | (466,980) | \$1,525,567 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 58,276 | \$ | 6,729 | \$ | 889 | \$ | _ | \$ 65,894 |
| Accrued liabilities | | 90,502 | | 23,349 | | 3,458 | | _ | 117,309 |
| Current portion of other long-term debt | | 126 | | 1,086 | | | | | 1,212 |
| Total current liabilities | | 148,904 | | 31,164 | | 4,347 | | _ | 184,415 |
| Senior Notes due 2016, net | | 272,544 | | _ | | _ | | _ | 272,544 |
| Other long-term debt | | 170,139 | | 1,262 | | _ | | _ | 171,401 |
| Intercompany payables | | 9,929 | | _ | | 11,662 | | (21,591) | _ |
| Other liabilities | | 16,111 | | 5,414 | | 2,897 | | | 24,422 |
| Total liabilities | | 617,627 | | 37,840 | | 18,906 | | (21,591) | 652,782 |
| Equity: | | | | | | | | | |
| ViaSat, Inc. stockholders' equity | | | | | | | | | |
| Total ViaSat, Inc. stockholders' equity | | 868,640 | | 120,479 | | 29,055 | | (449,534) | 868,640 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | | _ | | _ | | | | 4,145 | 4,145 |
| Total equity | | 868,640 | 4 | 120,479 | | 29,055 | | (445,389) | 872,785 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 1 | 1,486,267 | \$ 4 | 158,319 | \$ | 47,961 | \$ | (466,980) | \$1,525,567 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of April 1, 2011

| | | ing Parent Company | Guara Subsidi | | Su | -Guarantor bsidiaries lited, in thous | | nsolidation and Elimination Adjustments | Co | onsolidated |
|--|-------------|-----------------------|------------------|------|----|---|----|---|-------------|-------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | , | , | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 24,347 | | ,600 | \$ | 8,543 | \$ | _ | \$ | 40,490 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 171,183 | | ,644 | | 10,062 | | _ | | 191,889 |
| Inventories | | 88,542 | 7 | ,484 | | 2,932 | | (403) | | 98,555 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 16,428 | | ,723 | | 162 | | 492 | | 18,805 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 15,236 | 4 | ,745 | | 1,160 | | | | 21,141 |
| Total current assets | | 315,736 | 32 | ,196 | | 22,859 | | 89 | | 370,880 |
| Satellites, net | | 276,418 | 256 | ,582 | | _ | | _ | | 533,000 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 122,945 | 103 | ,410 | | 7,785 | | (1,001) | | 233,139 |
| Other acquired intangible assets, net | | 6,201 | 65 | ,341 | | 10,347 | | _ | | 81,889 |
| Goodwill | | 63,939 | 9 | ,686 | | 9,907 | | _ | | 83,532 |
| Investments in subsidiaries and intercompany receivables | | 490,288 | 2 | ,246 | | 404 | | (492,938) | | _ |
| Other assets | | 89,834 | 12 | ,922 | | 552 | | _ | | 103,308 |
| Total assets | \$ 1 | 1,365,361 | \$ 482 | ,383 | \$ | 51,854 | \$ | (493,850) | \$ 1 | ,405,748 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 62,465 | | ,164 | \$ | 1,083 | \$ | _ | \$ | 71,712 |
| Accrued liabilities | | 100,749 | | ,691 | | 4,143 | | _ | | 130,583 |
| Current portion of other long-term debt | | 116 | 1 | ,012 | | | | | _ | 1,128 |
| Total current liabilities | | 163,330 | 34 | ,867 | | 5,226 | | _ | | 203,423 |
| Senior Notes due 2016, net | | 272,296 | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 272,296 |
| Other long-term debt | | 60,203 | 1 | ,743 | | _ | | _ | | 61,946 |
| Intercompany payables | | 14,606 | | | | 11,945 | | (26,551) | | |
| Other liabilities | | 16,464 | 4 | ,321 | | 3,057 | | | | 23,842 |
| Total liabilities | | 526,899 | 40 | ,931 | | 20,228 | | (26,551) | | 561,507 |
| Equity: | | | | | | | | | | |
| ViaSat, Inc. stockholders' equity | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total ViaSat, Inc. stockholders' equity | | 838,462 | 441 | ,452 | | 31,626 | | (471,415) | | 840,125 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | | | | _ | | | | 4,116 | | 4,116 |
| Total equity | | 838,462 | 441 | ,452 | | 31,626 | | (467,299) | | 844,241 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 1 | 1,365,361 | \$ 482 | ,383 | \$ | 51,854 | \$ | (493,850) | \$1 | ,405,748 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

| | Issuing F | | Guara Subsidi | | Su | -Guarantor bsidiaries | El Ad | olidation and imination ljustments | Cor | nsolidated |
|--|------------|-------|------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------|----------|--|-----|------------|
| D. | | | | | (Unaud | ited, in thousa | ınds) | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Product revenues | | ,843 | • | 926 | \$ | 9,917 | \$ | (75) | \$ | 146,611 |
| Service revenues | 21 | ,988 | 51, | ,638 | | 3,187 | | (400) | _ | 76,413 |
| Total revenues | 157 | ,831 | 52, | ,564 | | 13,104 | | (475) | | 223,024 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of product revenues | 100 | ,366 | | 785 | | 7,892 | | (1,134) | | 107,909 |
| Cost of service revenues | 14 | ,580 | 38, | ,321 | | 1,701 | | (398) | | 54,204 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 29 | ,919 | 11, | ,983 | | 2,477 | | _ | | 44,379 |
| Independent research and development | ϵ | ,592 | | _ | | 234 | | (17) | | 6,809 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | | 970 | 3, | ,239 | | 558 | | _ | | 4,767 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 5 | ,404 | (1, | ,764) | | 242 | | 1,074 | | 4,956 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | | 112 | | _ | | 2 | | (101) | | 13 |
| Interest expense | | (152) | | (59) | | (101) | | 101 | | (211) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 5 | ,364 | (1, | ,823) | | 143 | | 1,074 | | 4,758 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (3 | ,443) | (| (732) | | 272 | | 492 | | (3,411) |
| Equity in net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries | (1 | ,414) | | _ | | _ | | 1,414 | | _ |
| Net income (loss) | 7 | ,393 | (1, | ,091) | | (129) | | 1,996 | | 8,169 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest, | | | | | | | | | | |
| net of tax | | _ | | _ | | _ | | 194 | | 194 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to ViaSat, Inc. | \$ 7 | ,393 | \$ (1, | ,091) | \$ | (129) | \$ | 1,802 | \$ | 7,975 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2011

| | Issuing Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidation and Elimination Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | _ | | (Unaudited, in thousand | ds) | _ |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Product revenues | \$ 254,756 | \$ 1,578 | \$ 13,167 | \$ (344) | \$ 269,157 |
| Service revenues | 39,685 | 104,989 | 5,413 | (1,119) | 148,968 |
| Total revenues | 294,441 | 106,567 | 18,580 | (1,463) | 418,125 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of product revenues | 189,930 | 1,542 | 10,465 | (1,743) | 200,194 |
| Cost of service revenues | 25,472 | 75,457 | 3,659 | (1,068) | 103,520 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 56,534 | 25,060 | 4,521 | (3) | 86,112 |
| Independent research and development | 11,957 | _ | 572 | (26) | 12,503 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 1,940 | 6,477 | 1,122 | _ | 9,539 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 8,608 | (1,969) | (1,759) | 1,377 | 6,257 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 245 | _ | 4 | (210) | 39 |
| Interest expense | (152) | (59) | (210) | 210 | (211) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 8,701 | (2,028) | (1,965) | 1,377 | 6,085 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | (3,463) | (822) | 115 | 492 | (3,678) |
| Equity in net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries | (3,315) | _ | _ | 3,315 | · — |
| Net income (loss) | 8,849 | (1,206) | (2,080) | 4,200 | 9,763 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest, | | | | | |
| net of tax | _ | _ | _ | 29 | 29 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to ViaSat, Inc. | \$ 8,849 | \$ (1,206) | \$ (2,080) | \$ 4,171 | \$ 9,734 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Three Months Ended October 1, 2010

| | Issuing Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidation and Elimination Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | (Unaudited, in thous | ands) | |
| Product revenues | \$ 122,639 | \$ 1,629 | \$ 4,043 | \$ (725) | \$ 127,586 |
| Service revenues | 14,248 | 53,465 | 3,022 | (432) | 70,303 |
| Total revenues | 136,887 | 55,094 | 7,065 | (1,157) | 197,889 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of product revenues | 85,148 | 1,515 | 2,408 | (620) | 88,451 |
| Cost of service revenues | 9,823 | 30,026 | 2,270 | (422) | 41,697 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 25,436 | 14,060 | 2,476 | (20) | 41,952 |
| Independent research and development | 7,384 | _ | 240 | (2) | 7,622 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 1,233 | 3,239 | 622 | _ | 5,094 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 7,863 | 6,254 | (951) | (93) | 13,073 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 155 | _ | 2 | (94) | 63 |
| Interest expense | (950) |) — | (94) | 94 | (950) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 7,068 | 6,254 | (1,043) | (93) | 12,186 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 1,918 | 2,443 | 24 | _ | 4,385 |
| Equity in net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries | 2,729 | | _ | (2,729) | _ |
| Net income (loss) | 7,879 | 3,811 | (1,067) | (2,822) | 7,801 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest, | | | | | |
| net of tax | _ | _ | _ | 15 | 15 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to ViaSat, Inc. | \$ 7,879 | \$ 3,811 | \$ (1,067) | \$ (2,837) | \$ 7,786 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Six Months Ended October 1, 2010

| | Issuing Parent Company | t Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidation and Elimination Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Revenues: | | | (Unaudited, in thous | ands) | |
| Product revenues | \$ 247,780 | \$ 2,180 | \$ 5,385 | \$ (2,757) | \$ 252,588 |
| Service revenues | 25,470 | 107,008 | 5,677 | (850) | 137,305 |
| Total revenues | 273,250 | 109,188 | 11,062 | (3,607) | 389,893 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Cost of product revenues | 180,436 | 1,990 | 3,352 | (2,613) | 183,165 |
| Cost of service revenues | 17,827 | 59,707 | 4,035 | (810) | 80,759 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 50,997 | 26,538 | 3,358 | (20) | 80,873 |
| Independent research and development | 14,645 | <u> </u> | 293 | (2) | 14,936 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 2,493 | 6,477 | 734 | _ | 9,704 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 6,852 | 14,476 | (710) | (162) | 20,456 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 380 | — | 5 | (183) | 202 |
| Interest expense | (3,091 | .) — | (183) | 183 | (3,091) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 4,141 | 14,476 | (888) | (162) | 17,567 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 374 | 5,752 | 240 | _ | 6,366 |
| Equity in net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries | 7,442 | 2 — | _ | (7,442) | _ |
| Net income (loss) | 11,209 | 8,724 | (1,128) | (7,604) | 11,201 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest, | | | | | |
| net of tax | _ | _ | _ | 154 | 154 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to ViaSat, Inc. | \$ 11,209 | \$ 8,724 | \$ (1,128) | \$ (7,758) | \$ 11,047 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2011

| | Issuing Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidation and Elimination Adjustments | Consolidated |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | | | (Unaudited, in thousand | ls) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (12,993) | \$ 30,987 | \$ 7,704 | \$ (1,912) | \$ 23,786 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Purchase of property, equipment and satellites, net | (116,927) | (16,129) | (2,169) | 1,912 | (133,313) |
| Cash paid for patents, licenses and other assets | (8,277) | _ | (18) | _ | (8,295) |
| Long-term intercompany notes and investments | 3,346 | | | (3,346) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (121,858) | (16,129) | (2,187) | (1,434) | (141,608) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from line of credit borrowings | 130,000 | _ | _ | _ | 130,000 |
| Payments on line of credit | (20,000) | _ | _ | _ | (20,000) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under equity | | | | | |
| plans | 6,167 | _ | _ | _ | 6,167 |
| Purchase of common stock in treasury | (2,250) | | _ | _ | (2,250) |
| Payments on capital lease | (54) | (411) | _ | _ | (465) |
| Intercompany long-term financing | 20,686 | (20,686) | (3,346) | 3,346 | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 134,549 | (21,097) | (3,346) | 3,346 | 113,452 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | <u> </u> | | (113) | | (113) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (302) | (6,239) | 2,058 | _ | (4,483) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 24,347 | 7,600 | 8,543 | | 40,490 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 24,045 | \$ 1,361 | \$ 10,601 | \$ | \$ 36,007 |

VIASAT, INC. NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended October 1, 2010

| | Issuing Parent Company | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidation and Elimination Adjustments | Consolidated |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | | | (Unaudited, in thousand | ds) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 36,019 | \$ 60,505 | \$ (201) | \$ (237) | \$ 96,086 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | | |
| Purchase of property, equipment and satellites, net | (81,154) | (27,098) | (1,503) | 237 | (109,518) |
| Cash paid for patents, licenses and other assets | (8,370) | (25) | (32) | _ | (8,427) |
| Payment related to acquisition of business, net of cash | | | | | |
| acquired | (14,203) | _ | 747 | _ | (13,456) |
| Long-term intercompany notes and investments | (2,980) | 100 | (213) | 3,093 | _ |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (106,707) | (27,023) | (1,001) | 3,330 | (131,401) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Proceeds from line of credit borrowings | 15,000 | _ | _ | _ | 15,000 |
| Payments on line of credit | (30,000) | _ | _ | _ | (30,000) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock under equity | | | | | |
| plans | 16,234 | _ | _ | _ | 16,234 |
| Purchase of common stock in treasury | (1,884) | _ | _ | _ | (1,884) |
| Intercompany long-term financing | 41,858 | (41,745) | 2,980 | (3,093) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 41,208 | (41,745) | 2,980 | (3,093) | (650) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | _ | _ | 115 | _ | 115 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (29,480) | (8,263) | 1,893 | | (35,850) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 66,258 | 16,216 | 7,157 | <u> </u> | 89,631 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 36,778 | \$ 7,953 | \$ 9,050 | <u> </u> | \$ 53,781 |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are subject to the safe harbors created under the Securities Act of 1934 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industries in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. We use words such as "anticipate," "believe," "continue," "could," "estimate," "expect," "goal," "intend," "may," "plan," "project," "seek," "should," "target," "will," "would," variations of such words and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. In addition, statements that refer to projections of earnings, revenue, costs or other financial items; anticipated growth and trends in our business or key markets; future growth and revenues from our products; the completion of post-launch testing and entry into service of our ViaSat-1 satellite; future economic conditions and performance; anticipated performance of products or services; plans, objectives and strategies for future operations; and other characterizations of future events or circumstances, are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are only predictions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified under the heading "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 1, 2011, elsewhere in this report and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

Company Overview

We are a leading provider of advanced satellite and wireless communications and secure networking systems, products and services. We have leveraged our success developing complex satellite communication systems and equipment for the U.S. government and select commercial customers to develop end-to-end satellite network solutions for a wide array of applications and customers. Our product and systems offerings are often linked through common underlying technologies, customer applications and market relationships. We believe that our portfolio of products, combined with our ability to effectively cross-deploy technologies between government and commercial segments and across different geographic markets, provides us with a strong foundation to sustain and enhance our leadership in advanced communications and networking technologies. Our customers, including the U.S. government, leading aerospace and defense prime contractors, network integrators and communications service providers, rely on our solutions to meet their complex communications and networking requirements. In addition, we are a leading wholesale and retail provider of satellite broadband internet services in the United States. ViaSat, Inc. was incorporated in California in 1986, and reincorporated as a Delaware corporation in 1996.

Subsequent to the quarter end, on October 19, 2011, our new high-capacity Ka-band spot-beam satellite, ViaSat-1, was successfully launched into orbit by International Launch Services (ILS) from the launch facility at the Baikonur Cosmodrome in Kazakhstan and is currently in the process of being tested by the satellite manufacturer prior to transferring control to us.

ViaSat operates in three segments: government systems, commercial networks and satellite services.

Government Systems

Our government systems segment develops and produces network-centric internet protocol (IP)-based secure government communications systems, products and solutions, which are designed to enable the collection and dissemination of secure real-time digital information between command centers, communications nodes and air defense systems. Customers of our government systems segment include armed forces, public safety first-responders and remote government employees.

The primary products and services of our government systems segment include:

• Government satellite communication systems, including an array of portable, mobile and fixed broadband modems, terminals, network access control systems and antenna systems using a range of satellite frequency bands for line-of-sight and beyond-line-of-sight Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) and Command and Control (C2) missions, satellite networking services, as well as products designed for manpacks, aircraft, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), seagoing vessels, ground mobile vehicles and fixed applications.

- Information security and assurance products that enable military and government users to communicate information securely over networks, and that secure data stored on computers and storage devices.
- Tactical data links, including Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS) terminals for military fighter jets, and their successor, MIDS Joint Tactical Radio System (MIDS JTRS) terminals, "disposable" weapon data links, and portable small tactical terminals.

Commercial Networks

Our commercial networks segment develops and produces a variety of advanced end-to-end satellite communication systems and ground networking equipment and products that address five key market segments: consumer, enterprise, in-flight, maritime and ground mobile applications. These communication systems, networking equipment and products are generally developed through a combination of customer and discretionary internal research and development funding.

Our satellite communication systems, ground networking equipment and products cater to a wide range of domestic and international commercial customers and include:

- · Consumer broadband, including next-generation satellite network infrastructure and ground terminals to access high-capacity satellites.
- Antenna systems for terrestrial and satellite applications, specializing in geospatial imagery, mobile satellite communication, Ka-band gateways, and other multi-band antennas.
- · Mobile broadband satellite communication systems, designed for use in aircraft, seagoing vessels and high-speed trains.
- Enterprise Very Small Aperture Terminal (VSAT) networks and products, designed to provide enterprises with broadband access to the internet or private networks.
- Satellite networking development programs, including specialized design and technology services covering all aspects of satellite communication system architecture and technology.

Satellite Services

Our satellite services segment complements both our government systems and commercial networks segments by providing retail and wholesale satellite-based broadband internet services via our distribution and capacity agreements, as well as managed network services for the satellite communication systems of our consumer, enterprise and mobile broadband customers.

The primary services offered by our satellite services segment comprise:

- Wholesale and retail broadband services, comprised of WildBlue® service, which provides two-way satellite-based broadband internet access to consumers and small businesses in the United States.
- Our Yonder® worldwide mobile broadband services is comprised of global network management services for customers who use our ArcLight®-based mobile satellite systems supporting airborne, maritime and various ground-mobile customers.

Sources of Revenues

With respect to our government systems and commercial networks segments, our ability to grow and maintain our revenues has depended on our ability to identify and target markets where the customer places a high priority on the technology solution, and our ability to obtain additional sizable contract awards. Due to the nature of this process, it is difficult to predict the probability and timing of obtaining awards in these markets.

Our products and services in these segments are provided primarily through three types of contracts: fixed-price, time-and-materials and cost-reimbursement contracts. Fixed-price contracts, which require us to provide products and services under a contract at a specified price, comprised approximately 94% and 93% of our total revenues for these segments for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively, and 93% and 92% of our total revenues for these segments for the six months ended September 30,

2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively. The remainder of our revenue in these segments for such periods was derived from cost-reimbursement contracts (under which we are reimbursed for all actual costs incurred in performing the contract to the extent such costs are within the contract ceiling and allowable under the terms of the contract, plus a fee or profit) and from time-and-materials contracts (which reimburse us for the number of labor hours expended at an established hourly rate negotiated in the contract, plus the cost of materials utilized in providing such products or services).

Historically, a significant portion of our revenues has been derived from customer contracts that include the research and development of products. The research and development efforts are conducted in direct response to the customer's specific requirements and, accordingly, expenditures related to such efforts are included in cost of sales when incurred and the related funding (which includes a profit component) is included in revenues. Revenues for our funded research and development from our customer contracts were approximately \$53.4 million or 24% and \$54.0 million or 27% of our total revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively. Revenues for our funded research and development from our customer contracts were approximately \$107.8 million or 26% and \$93.6 million or 24% of our total revenues in the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively.

We also incur independent research and development (IR&D) expenses, which are not directly funded by a third party. IR&D expenses consist primarily of salaries and other personnel-related expenses, supplies, prototype materials, testing and certification related to research and development programs. IR&D expenses were approximately 3% and 4% of total revenues during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively. IR&D expenses were approximately 3% and 4% of total revenues during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, respectively. As a government contractor, we are able to recover a portion of our IR&D expenses pursuant to our government contracts.

Our satellite services segment revenues are primarily derived from our WildBlue business (which provides wholesale and retail satellite-based broadband internet services in the United States) and our managed network services which complement both our government systems and commercial networks segments by supporting the satellite communication systems of our consumer, enterprise and mobile broadband customers.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We consider the policies discussed below to be critical to an understanding of our financial statements because their application places the most significant demands on management's judgment, with financial reporting results relying on estimation about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We describe the specific risks for these critical accounting policies in the following paragraphs. For all of these policies, we caution that future events rarely develop exactly as forecast, and even the best estimates routinely require adjustment.

Revenue recognition

A substantial portion of our revenues is derived from long-term contracts requiring development and delivery of complex equipment built to customer specifications. Sales related to these contracts are accounted for under the authoritative guidance for the percentage-of-completion method of accounting (Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 605-35). Sales and earnings under these contracts are recorded either based on the ratio of actual costs incurred to date to total estimated costs expected to be incurred related to the contract or as products are shipped under the units-of-delivery method.

The percentage-of-completion method of accounting requires management to estimate the profit margin for each individual contract and to apply that profit margin on a uniform basis as sales are recorded under the contract. The estimation of profit margins requires management to make projections of the total sales to be generated and the total costs that will be incurred under a contract. These projections require management to make numerous assumptions and estimates relating to items such as the complexity of design and related development costs, performance of subcontractors, availability and cost of materials, labor productivity and cost, overhead and capital costs and manufacturing efficiency. These contracts often include purchase options for additional quantities and customer change orders for additional or revised product functionality. Purchase options and change orders are accounted for either as an integral part of the original contract or separately depending upon the nature and value of the item. For contract claims or similar items, we apply judgment in estimating the amounts and assessing the potential for realization. These amounts are only included in

contract value when they can be reliably estimated and realization is considered probable. Anticipated losses on contracts are recognized in full in the period in which losses become probable and estimable. During the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, we recorded losses of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, related to loss contracts. During the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, we recorded losses of approximately \$0.7 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, related to loss contracts.

Assuming the initial estimates of sales and costs under a contract are accurate, the percentage-of-completion method results in the profit margin being recorded evenly as revenue is recognized under the contract. Changes in these underlying estimates due to revisions in sales and future cost estimates or the exercise of contract options may result in profit margins being recognized unevenly over a contract as such changes are accounted for on a cumulative basis in the period estimates are revised. We believe we have established appropriate systems and processes to enable us to reasonably estimate future cost on our programs through regular quarterly evaluations of contract costs, scheduling and technical matters by business unit personnel and management. Historically, in the aggregate, we have not experienced significant deviations in actual costs from estimated program costs, and when deviations that result in significant adjustments arise, we disclose the related impact in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. However, these estimates require significant management judgment and a significant change in future cost estimates on one or more programs could have a material effect on our results of operations. A one percent variance in our future cost estimates on open fixed-price contracts as of September 30, 2011 would change our income before income taxes by approximately \$0.5 million.

We also derive a substantial portion of our revenues from contracts and purchase orders where revenue is recorded on delivery of products or performance of services in accordance with the authoritative guidance for revenue recognition (ASC 605). Under this standard, we recognize revenue when an arrangement exists, prices are fixed and determinable, collectability is reasonably assured and the goods or services have been delivered.

We also enter into certain leasing arrangements with customers and evaluate the contracts in accordance with the authoritative guidance for leases (ASC 840). Our accounting for equipment leases involves specific determinations under the authoritative guidance, which often involve complex provisions and significant judgments. In accordance with the authoritative guidance for leases, we classify the transactions as sales type or operating leases based on (1) review for transfers of ownership of the property to the lessee by the end of the lease term, (2) review of the lease terms to determine if it contains an option to purchase the leased property for a price which is sufficiently lower than the expected fair value of the property at the date of the option, (3) review of the lease term to determine if it is equal to or greater than 75% of the economic life of the equipment, and (4) review of the present value of the minimum lease payments to determine if they are equal to or greater than 90% of the fair market value of the equipment at the inception of the lease. Additionally, we consider the cancelability of the contract and any related uncertainty of collections or risk in recoverability of the lease investment at lease inception. Revenue from sales type leases is recognized at the inception of the lease or when the equipment has been delivered and installed at the customer site, if installation is required. Revenues from equipment rentals under operating leases are recognized as earned over the lease term, which is generally on a straight-line basis.

Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, we adopted Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (ASC 605) Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements, which updates ASC 605-25, Revenue Recognition-Multiple element arrangements, of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) codification. ASU 2009-13 amended accounting guidance for revenue recognition to eliminate the use of the residual method and requires entities to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method. For substantially all of the arrangements with multiple deliverables, we allocate revenue to each element based on a selling price hierarchy at the arrangement inception. The selling price for each element is based upon the following selling price hierarchy: vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) if available, third party evidence (TPE) if VSOE is not available, or estimated selling price (ESP) if neither VSOE nor TPE are available (a description as to how we determine VSOE, TPE and ESP is provided below). If a tangible hardware systems product includes software, we determine whether the tangible hardware systems product and the software work together to deliver the product's essential functionality and, if so, the entire product is treated as a nonsoftware deliverable. The total arrangement consideration is allocated to each separate unit of accounting for each of the nonsoftware deliverables using the relative selling prices of each unit based on the aforementioned selling price hierarchy. Revenue for each separate unit of accounting is recognized when the applicable revenue recognition criteria for each element have been met.

To determine the selling price in multiple-element arrangements, we establish VSOE of the selling price using the price charged for a deliverable when sold separately and for software license updates and product support and hardware systems support, based on the renewal rates offered to customers. For nonsoftware multiple-element arrangements, TPE is established by evaluating similar and/or interchangeable competitor products or services in standalone arrangements with similarly situated customers and/or agreements. If we are unable to determine the selling price because VSOE or TPE doesn't exist, we determine ESP for the purposes of

allocating the arrangement by reviewing historical transactions, including transactions whereby the deliverable was sold on a standalone basis and considering several other external and internal factors including, but not limited to, pricing practices including discounting, margin objectives, competition, the geographies in which we offer our products and services, the type of customer (i.e. distributor, value added reseller, government agency or direct end user, among others) and the stage of the product lifecycle. The determination of ESP considers our pricing model and go-to-market strategy. As our, or our competitors', pricing and go-to-market strategies evolve, we may modify our pricing practices in the future, which could result in changes to our determination of VSOE, TPE and ESP. As a result, our future revenue recognition for multiple-element arrangements could differ materially from those in the current period. We adopted this authoritative guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 without a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

Collections in excess of revenues and deferred revenues represent cash collected from customers in advance of revenue recognition and are recorded in accrued liabilities for obligations within the next twelve months. Deferred revenues extending beyond the twelve months are recorded within other liabilities in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

We make estimates of the collectability of our accounts receivable based on historical bad debts, customer creditworthiness and current economic trends when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Historically, our bad debt allowances have been minimal primarily because a significant portion of our sales has been to the U.S. government or is related to our satellite service commercial business, which we bill and collect in advance. Our accounts receivable balance was \$198.5 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.9 million, as of September 30, 2011, and our accounts receivable balance was \$191.9 million, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.5 million, as of April 1, 2011.

Warranty reserves

We provide limited warranties on our products for periods of up to five years. We record a liability for our warranty obligations when we ship the products or they are included in long-term construction contracts based upon an estimate of expected warranty costs. Amounts expected to be incurred within twelve months are classified as a current liability. For mature products, we estimate the warranty costs based on historical experience with the particular product. For newer products that do not have a history of warranty costs, we base our estimates on our experience with the technology involved and the types of failures that may occur. It is possible that our underlying assumptions will not reflect the actual experience, and in that case, we will make future adjustments to the recorded warranty obligation.

Goodwill

We account for our goodwill under the authoritative guidance for goodwill and other intangible assets (ASC 350). The authoritative guidance for the goodwill impairment model is a two-step process. First, it requires a comparison of the book value of net assets to the fair value of the reporting units that have goodwill assigned to them. Reporting units within our government systems, commercial networks and satellite services segments have goodwill assigned to them. If the fair value is determined to be less than book value, a second step is performed to compute the amount of the impairment. In this process, a fair value for goodwill is estimated, based in part on the fair value of the reporting unit used in the first step, and is compared to its carrying value. The shortfall of the fair value below carrying value, if any, represents the amount of goodwill impairment. We test goodwill for impairment during the fourth quarter every fiscal year and when an event occurs or circumstances change such that it is reasonably possible that an impairment may exist.

We estimate the fair values of the reporting units using discounted cash flows and other indicators of fair value such as market comparable transactions. We base the forecast of future cash flows on our best estimate of the future revenues and operating costs, which we derive primarily from existing firm orders, expected future orders, contracts with suppliers, labor agreements and general market conditions. Changes in these forecasts could cause a particular reporting unit to either pass or fail the first step in the authoritative guidance related to the goodwill impairment model, which could significantly influence whether a goodwill impairment needs to be recorded. We adjust the cash flow forecasts by an appropriate discount rate derived from our market capitalization plus a suitable control premium at the date of evaluation.

Property, equipment and satellites

Equipment, computers and software, furniture and fixtures, our ViaSat-1 satellite, and related gateway and networking equipment under construction are recorded at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Satellite costs, including launch services and insurance, are generally procured under long-term contracts that provide for payments over the contract periods and are capitalized as incurred. Also, we are constructing gateway facilities, network operations systems and other assets to support our ViaSat-1 satellite and these construction costs are capitalized as incurred. Interest expense is capitalized on the carrying value of the satellite and related gateway and networking equipment during the construction period. Satellite construction and launch services costs are capitalized to reflect progress toward completion, which typically coincides with contract milestone payment schedules. Insurance premiums related to the satellite launch and subsequent inorbit testing are capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful lives of the satellite. Performance incentives payable in future periods are dependent on the continued satisfactory performance of the satellite in service. On October 19, 2011, our ViaSat-1 satellite was successfully launched and is currently undergoing in-orbit testing by our satellite manufacturer prior to transferring control to us.

As a result of the acquisition of WildBlue Holding, Inc. (WildBlue) in December 2009, we acquired the WildBlue-1 satellite (which had been placed into service in March 2007) and an exclusive prepaid lifetime capital lease of Ka-band capacity on Telesat Canada's Anik F2 satellite (which had been placed into service in April 2005) and related gateway and networking equipment on both satellites. The acquired assets also included the indoor and outdoor customer premise equipment (CPE) units leased to subscribers under WildBlue's retail leasing program.

Occasionally, we may enter into capital lease arrangements for various machinery, equipment, computer-related equipment, software, furniture or fixtures. As of both September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, assets under capital lease totaled approximately \$3.1 million. We record amortization of assets leased under capital lease arrangements within depreciation expense.

Impairment of long-lived assets (property, equipment and satellites, and other assets)

In accordance with the authoritative guidance for impairment or disposal of long-lived assets (ASC 360), we assess potential impairments to our long-lived assets, including property, equipment and satellites and other assets, when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. We recognize an impairment loss when the undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by an asset (or group of assets) are less than the asset's carrying value. Any required impairment loss would be measured as the amount by which the asset's carrying value exceeds its fair value, and would be recorded as a reduction in the carrying value of the related asset and charged to results of operations. No material impairments were recorded by us for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010.

Income taxes and valuation allowance on deferred tax assets

Management evaluates the realizability of our deferred tax assets and assesses the need for a valuation allowance on a quarterly basis. In accordance with the authoritative guidance for income taxes (ASC 740), net deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on all the available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Our valuation allowance against deferred tax assets increased from \$12.7 million at April 1, 2011 to \$13.3 million at September 30, 2011. The valuation allowance primarily relates to state net operating loss carryforwards and research credit carryforwards available to reduce state income taxes.

Accruals for uncertain tax positions are provided for in accordance with the authoritative guidance for accounting for uncertainty in income taxes (ASC 740). Under the authoritative guidance, we may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The authoritative guidance addresses the derecognition of income tax assets and liabilities, classification of current and deferred income tax assets and liabilities, accounting for interest and penalties associated with tax positions, and income tax disclosures.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. In the ordinary course of business, there are calculations and transactions where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. In addition, changes in tax laws and regulations as well as adverse judicial rulings could adversely affect the income tax provision. We believe we have adequately provided for income tax issues not yet resolved with federal, state and foreign tax authorities. However, if these provided amounts prove to be more than what is necessary, the reversal of the reserves would result in tax benefits being recognized in the period in which we determine that provision for the liabilities is no longer necessary. If an ultimate tax assessment exceeds our estimate of tax liabilities, an additional charge to expense would result.

Results of Operations

The following table presents, as a percentage of total revenues, income statement data for the periods indicated.

| | Three Months | Ended | Six Months E | nded |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | September 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | September 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 |
| Revenues: | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Product revenues | 65.7 | 64.5 | 64.4 | 64.8 |
| Service revenues | 34.3 | 35.5 | 35.6 | 35.2 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of product revenues | 48.4 | 44.7 | 47.9 | 47.0 |
| Cost of service revenues | 24.3 | 21.1 | 24.8 | 20.7 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 19.9 | 21.2 | 20.6 | 20.7 |
| Independent research and development | 3.1 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| Amortization of acquired intangible assets | 2.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| Income from operations | 2.2 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 5.2 |
| Income before income taxes | 2.1 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 4.5 |
| Net income | 3.7 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| Net income attributable to ViaSat, Inc. | 3.6 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 |

Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 vs. Three Months Ended October 1, 2010

Revenues

| | Thre | e Months Ended | Dollar | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | September | 30, October 1, | | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Product revenues | \$ 146 | \$ 127.6 | \$ 19.0 | 14.9% |
| Service revenues | 76 | 70.3 | 6.1 | 8.7% |
| Total revenues | \$ 223 | \$ 197.9 | \$ 25.1 | 12.7% |

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last year, our total revenues increased from \$197.9 million to \$223.0 million due to increases of approximately \$19.0 million from product revenues and \$6.1 million from service revenues.

Product revenues increased from \$127.6 million to \$146.6 million during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last year. The increase in product revenues was primarily due to higher product sales of \$6.7 million in government satellite communication systems, \$6.5 million in mobile broadband satellite communication systems, \$4.8 million in enterprise VSAT networks and products, \$3.1 million in consumer broadband products, \$2.7 million in antenna systems products and \$2.1 million from satellite payload technology development programs. These increases were offset by lower product sales of \$5.2 million in information assurance products and \$2.5 million in tactical data link products.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2011, our service revenues increased from \$70.3 million to \$76.4 million primarily driven by growth in our government satellite communication systems services of \$3.6 million, information assurance services of \$2.5 million and tactical data link services of \$1.5 million, offset by a decrease in WildBlue services of \$1.8 million. The decrease in WildBlue service revenues was mainly due to the lower number of wholesale subscribers as our sales channels provisioned fewer customers with existing service plans in anticipation of the ViaSat-1 satellite related service offerings.

Cost of revenues

| | | Three Months Ended | | | Dollar | | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|--------|------------------------|------------|
| (In millions, except percentages) | Sept | tember 30, 2011 | October 1, Increa | | crease | Increase (Decrease) | |
| Cost of product revenues | \$ | 107.9 | \$ | 88.5 | \$ | 19.5 | 22.0% |
| Cost of service revenues | | 54.2 | | 41.7 | | 12.5 | 30.0% |
| Total cost of revenues | \$ | 162.1 | \$ | 130.1 | \$ | 32.0 | 24.6% |

Cost of product revenues increased approximately \$19.5 million from \$88.5 million to \$107.9 million during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 when compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2011. On a constant margin basis, the increased product revenues caused a \$13.2 million increase in cost of product revenues. Additionally, cost of product revenues as a percentage of product revenues increased during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the prior year period due to a higher mix of lower margin products from mobile broadband satellite communication system development programs and lower sales of higher margin mature information assurance and UAV products. These cost of product revenue increases were offset by improved margins in our enterprise VSAT products. Cost of product revenues may fluctuate in future periods depending on the mix of products sold, competition, new product introduction costs and other factors.

Cost of service revenues increased from \$41.7 million to \$54.2 million during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 when compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 primarily from WildBlue service cost increases associated with our new data center and billing system and connectivity costs for the ViaSat-1 gateways as we prepare for the commencement of ViaSat-1 satellite services. In addition, cost of service revenue increased (on a constant margin basis) approximately \$3.6 million due to increased service revenues. Cost of service revenues may fluctuate in future periods depending on the mix of services provided, competition, new service introduction costs and other factors.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

| | Three Mont | hs Ended | Dollar | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 1, | Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 44.4 | \$ 42.0 | \$ 2.4 | 5.8% |

The increase in selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses of \$2.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 was primarily attributable to higher support costs of \$1.6 million mainly in our commercial networks segment and higher selling costs of \$0.6 million mainly in our government systems segment. SG&A expenses consisted primarily of personnel costs and expenses for business development, marketing and sales, bid and proposal, facilities, finance, contract administration and general management. Some SG&A expenses are difficult to predict and vary based on specific government, commercial and satellite service sales opportunities.

Independent research and development

| | Three Month | hs Ended | Dollar | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 1, | Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Independent research and development | \$ 6.8 | \$ 7.6 | \$ (0.8) | (10.7)% |

The decrease in IR&D expenses of approximately \$0.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 was driven by a decrease in IR&D of approximately \$0.9 million in our government systems segment.

Amortization of acquired intangible assets

We amortize our acquired intangible assets from prior acquisitions over their estimated useful lives ranging from eight months to ten years. The decrease in amortization of approximately \$0.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year was due to certain acquired technology intangibles in our commercial networks segment and government systems segment that became fully amortized over the preceding twelve months. Current and expected amortization expense for acquired intangible assets for each of the following periods is as follows:

| | Am | ortization |
|--|-----|------------|
| | (In | housands) |
| For the six months ended September 30, 2011 | \$ | 9,539 |
| Expected for the remainder of fiscal year 2012 | \$ | 9,179 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2013 | | 15,555 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2014 | | 13,811 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2015 | | 13,735 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2016 | | 10,181 |
| Thereafter | | 9,618 |
| | \$ | 72,079 |

Interest income

Interest income in the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the three months ended October 1, 2010 decreased slightly as we experienced similar average interest rates on our investments but lower average invested cash balances during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year.

Interest expense

The decrease in interest expense from the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 to the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 of \$0.7 million was primarily due to higher capitalized interest associated with our ViaSat-1 satellite, related gateway and networking equipment, and other assets currently under construction, slightly offset by a higher average outstanding balance under our revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility). For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, we capitalized interest expense of approximately \$7.7 million and \$6.7 million, respectively. Interest expense incurred during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 related to our 8.875% Senior Notes due 2016 (the Notes) and the Credit Facility.

(Benefit from) provision for income taxes

We currently estimate our annual effective income tax rate to be a benefit of approximately 54.8% for fiscal year 2012, compared to the actual zero effective income tax rate in fiscal year 2011. The effective income tax benefit of approximately 71.7% for the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 was higher than the expected annual effective tax benefit rate primarily due to applying the higher benefit tax rate to the year-to-date pre-tax income. The estimated annual effective income tax benefit rate reflects the December 31, 2011 expiration of the federal research and development tax credit. If the federal research and development tax credit is reinstated, we may have a higher annual effective tax benefit rate for fiscal year 2012, and the amount of any such tax rate increase will depend on the effective date of any such reinstatement, the terms of the reinstatement, as well as the amount of eligible research and development expenses in the reinstated period. The estimated effective tax benefit rate is different from the expected statutory rate primarily due to research and development tax credits.

Segment Results for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 vs. Three Months Ended October 1, 2010

Government systems segment

Revenues

| | Three Months Ended | | | | n | ollar | Percentage | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----|----------|-----|---------|------------|--|
| σ W | Sept | ember 30, | | tober 1, | Inc | crease | Increase | |
| (In millions, except percentages) | | 2011 | | 2010 | (De | crease) | (Decrease) | |
| Product revenues | \$ | 86.6 | \$ | 86.5 | \$ | 0.1 | 0.1% | |
| Service revenues | <u></u> | 16.8 | | 8.4 | | 8.4 | 99.7% | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 103.4 | \$ | 94.9 | \$ | 8.5 | 8.9% | |

The revenue in our government systems segment increased approximately \$8.5 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year, primarily due to an increase in service revenues of \$8.4 million, while product revenues remained relatively flat. The service revenue increase in our government systems segment was primarily due to revenue increases of \$3.6 million in government satellite communication systems services, \$2.5 million in information assurance services and \$1.5 million in tactical data link services.

Segment operating profit

| | | Three Month | Dollar | Percentage | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Septe | ember 30, | October 1, | Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Operating profit | \$ | 14.3 | \$ 12.8 | \$ 1.5 | 11.9% |
| Percentage of segment revenues | | 13.9% | 13.5% |) | |

The increase in our government systems segment operating profit of \$1.5 million during the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 was primarily due to higher earning contributions of approximately \$1.3 million from higher revenues. The remainder of the increase in operating profit was due to lower IR&D expenses, offset by an increase in selling, support and new business proposal costs.

Commercial networks segment

Revenues

| | Three Months Ended | | | Percentage | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| (In millions, except percentages) | ember 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | Dollar Increase (Decrease) | Increase (Decrease) | |
| Product revenues | \$ 59.1 | \$ 39.4 | \$ 19.6 | 49.8% | |
| Service revenues | 5.1 | 4.9 | 0.3 | 5.2% | |
| Total revenues | \$ 64.2 | \$ 44.3 | \$ 19.9 | 44.9% | |

In the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year, commercial networks segment revenues increased approximately \$19.9 million, primarily due to an increase in product revenues of \$19.6 million, while service revenues remained relatively flat. The increase in our commercial networks segment product revenues was primarily due to revenue increases of \$6.5 million in mobile broadband satellite communication systems, \$4.8 million in enterprise VSAT networks and products, \$3.1 million in consumer broadband products, \$2.7 million in antenna systems products and \$2.1 million from satellite payload technology development programs.

Segment operating loss

| | | Three Montl | hs Ended | Dollar | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Sept | ember 30, | October 1, | (Increase) | (Increase) |
| (In millions, except percentages) | | 2011 | 2010 | Decrease | Decrease |
| Operating loss | \$ | (2.9) | \$ (2.3) | \$ (0.5) | (22.3)% |
| Percentage of segment revenues | | (4.5)% | (5.3)% | | |

The slight increase in the commercial networks segment operating loss in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year was primarily due to an increase in selling, support and new business proposal costs of \$3.4 million, offset by higher earnings contributions of approximately \$2.8 million from increased revenues and improved margins in our satellite networking development programs and mobile broadband satellite communication systems.

Satellite services segment

Revenues

| | | Three Mont | hs Ended | Dollar | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | Sept | ember 30, | October 1, | Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Product revenues | \$ | 0.9 | \$ 1.6 | \$ (0.7) | (43.0)% |
| Service revenues | | 54.5 | 57.0 | (2.5) | (4.4)% |
| Total revenues | \$ | 55.4 | \$ 58.6 | \$ (3.2) | (5.5)% |

The decrease in satellite services segment revenue in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 of approximately \$3.2 million was primarily due to a decrease in service revenues of approximately \$2.5 million. The decrease in our satellite services segment revenues was primarily due to a decrease in WildBlue services of \$1.8 million and a decrease in our managed broadband services. The decrease in WildBlue service revenues was mainly due to the lower number of wholesale subscribers as our sales channels provisioned fewer customers with existing service plans in anticipation of the ViaSat-1 satellite related service offerings.

Segment operating (loss) profit

| | | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Septem | ber 30, | October 1, | Dollar Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 20 | 11 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Operating (loss) profit | \$ | (1.7) | \$ 7.7 | \$ (9.4) | (122.6)% |
| Percentage of segment revenues | | (3.1)% | 13.1% | | |

Our satellite services segment generated an operating loss in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012 compared to an operating profit in the second quarter of fiscal year 2011. This change was primarily attributable to lower earnings contributions of approximately \$11.0 million from higher cost of revenues. These higher cost of revenues mainly resulted from WildBlue service cost increases associated with our new data center and billing system and connectivity costs for the ViaSat-1 gateways as we prepare for the commencement of ViaSat-1 satellite services. The lower earnings contributions were offset by a decrease in selling, support and new business proposal costs of approximately \$1.7 million.

Six Months Ended September 30, 2011 vs. Six Months Ended October 1, 2010

Revenues

| | | Six Month | s Ended | Dollar | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|-----|---------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| (In millions, except percentages) | Sep | otember 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | Increase (Decrease) | Increase (Decrease) |
| Product revenues | \$ | 269.2 | \$ 252.6 | \$ 16.6 | 6.6% |
| Service revenues | | 149.0 | 137.3 | 11.7 | 8.5% |
| Total revenues | \$ | 418.1 | \$ 389.9 | \$ 28.2 | 7.2% |

During the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year, product revenues increased from \$252.6 million to \$269.2 million. The product revenue increase was primarily due to higher product sales of \$13.5 million in government satellite communication systems, \$10.2 million in mobile broadband satellite communication systems, \$4.4 million in

consumer broadband products, \$3.7 million in enterprise VSAT networks and products, \$3.7 million in antenna systems products and \$3.5 million from satellite payload technology development programs. These increases were offset by lower product sales of \$15.4 million in tactical data link products, \$5.5 million in information assurance products and \$1.5 million in satellite networking development programs.

Service revenues increased from \$137.3 million to \$149.0 million during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 when compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011 primarily driven by growth in government satellite communication systems services of \$6.2 million, information assurance services of \$3.8 million, tactical data link services of \$2.6 million and \$1.8 million satellite networking development program services, offset by a decrease in WildBlue services of \$2.0 million. The decrease in WildBlue service revenues was mainly due to the lower number of wholesale subscribers as our sales channels provisioned fewer customers with existing service plans in anticipation of the ViaSat-1 satellite related service offerings.

Cost of revenues

| | Six Mont | Six Months Ended | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 1, | Dollar Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Cost of product revenues | \$ 200.2 | \$ 183.2 | \$ 17.0 | 9.3% |
| Cost of service revenues | 103.5 | 80.8 | 22.8 | 28.2% |
| Total cost of revenues | \$ 303.7 | \$ 263.9 | \$ 39.8 | 15.1% |

Cost of product revenues increased from \$183.2 million to \$200.2 million during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 when compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011 primarily due to increased product revenues, which caused an increase of approximately \$12.0 million in cost of product revenues (on a constant margin basis). In addition, cost of product revenues as a percentage of product sales increased during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the prior year due to a higher mix of lower margin products from mobile broadband satellite communication development programs and lower sales of higher margin mature information assurance and UAV products. Cost of product revenues may fluctuate in future periods depending on the mix of products sold, competition, new product introduction costs and other factors.

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2011, we recorded an additional forward loss of \$8.5 million on a government satellite communication program due to the significant additional labor and material costs for rework and testing required to complete the program requirements and specifications.

Cost of service revenues increased from \$80.8 million to \$103.5 million during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 when compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011 primarily due to lower service margins resulting in an increase in cost of service revenues of approximately \$15.9 million. These lower service margins primarily resulted from WildBlue service cost increases associated with our new data center and billing system and connectivity costs for the ViaSat-1 gateways as we prepare for the commencement of ViaSat-1 satellite services. In addition, cost of service revenue increased (on a constant margin basis) approximately \$6.9 million due to increased service revenues. Cost of service revenues may fluctuate in future periods depending on the mix of services provided, competition, new service introduction costs and other factors.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

| | Six Months | Ended | Dollar | Percentage | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | September 30, | October 1, | Increase | Increase | |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) | |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 86.1 | \$ 80.9 | \$ 5.2 | 6.5% | |

The increase in SG&A expenses of \$5.2 million in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011 was mainly attributable to an increase in support costs of \$4.2 million primarily in our commercial networks systems segment as well as an increase in selling costs of \$1.2 million primarily in our government systems segment. SG&A expenses consisted primarily of personnel costs and expenses for business development, marketing and sales, bid and proposal, facilities, finance, contract administration and general management. Some SG&A expenses are difficult to predict and vary based on specific government, commercial and satellite service sales opportunities.

Independent research and development

| | Six Months | Six Months Ended | | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 1, | Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Independent research and development | \$ 12.5 | \$ 14.9 | \$ (2.4) | (16.3)% |

The decrease in IR&D expenses of approximately \$2.4 million in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011 was driven by a decrease of approximately \$2.1 million in our commercial networks segment principally related to next-generation consumer broadband.

Amortization of acquired intangible assets

We amortize our acquired intangible assets from prior acquisitions over their estimated useful lives ranging from eight months to ten years. The decrease in amortization of approximately \$0.2 million in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year was due to a decrease in amortization of approximately \$0.7 million as certain acquired technology intangibles in our government systems and commercial networks segment became fully amortized over the preceding twelve months, offset by an increase in amortization of approximately \$0.5 million due to our acquisition of Stonewood Group Limited (Stonewood) in July 2010. Current and expected amortization expense for each of the following periods is as follows:

| | Amortization | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | (In | thousands) |
| For the six months ended September 30, 2011 | \$ | 9,539 |
| Expected for the remainder of fiscal year 2012 | \$ | 9,179 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2013 | | 15,555 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2014 | | 13,811 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2015 | | 13,735 |
| Expected for fiscal year 2016 | | 10,181 |
| Thereafter | | 9,618 |
| | \$ | 72,079 |

Interest income

Interest income for the six months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the six months ended October 1, 2010 decreased slightly as we experienced similar average interest rates on our investments but lower average invested cash balances during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year.

Interest expense

The decrease in interest expense from the first six months of fiscal year 2011 to the first six months of fiscal year 2012 of \$2.9 million was primarily due to higher capitalized interest associated with our ViaSat-1 satellite, related gateway and networking equipment, and other assets currently under construction. For the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010, we capitalized interest expense of approximately \$15.3 million and \$12.7 million, respectively. Interest expense incurred during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and October 1, 2010 related to the Notes and the Credit Facility.

(Benefit from) provision for income taxes

For the six months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$3.7 million. We currently estimate our annual effective income tax rate to be a benefit of approximately 54.8% for fiscal year 2012, compared to the actual zero effective income tax rate in fiscal year 2011. The estimated annual effective income tax benefit rate for fiscal year 2012 results primarily from expected federal and state research and development tax credits in excess of taxes at the statutory rate on projected pre-tax income for the year. The benefit rate reflects the December 31, 2011 expiration of the federal research and development tax credit. If the federal research and development tax credit is reinstated, we may have a higher annual effective tax benefit rate for fiscal year 2012, and the amount of any such increase in the benefit tax rate will depend on the effective date of any such reinstatement, the terms of the reinstatement, as well as the amount of eligible research and development expenses in the reinstated period.

Segment Results for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2011 vs. Six Months Ended October 1, 2010

Government systems segment

Revenues

| | Six Months Ended | | | Dollar | | Percentage | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| (In millions, except percentages) | Sept | ember 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | | crease crease) | Increase (Decrease) | |
| Product revenues | \$ | 161.2 | \$ 168.4 | \$ | (7.1) | (4.2)% | |
| Service revenues | | 28.4 | 15.4 | | 12.9 | 83.9% | |
| Total revenues | \$ | 189.6 | \$ 183.8 | \$ | 5.8 | 3.2% | |

Our government systems segment experienced revenue increases in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year due to an increase in service revenues of \$12.9 million, offset by a decrease in product revenues of \$7.1 million.

The increase in service revenues was primarily due to increases of \$6.2 million in government satellite communication systems services, \$3.8 million in information assurance services and \$2.6 million in tactical data link services. The decrease in product revenues was primarily due to decreases of \$15.4 million in tactical data link products and \$5.5 million in information assurance products, offset by an increase of \$13.5 million in government satellite communication systems.

Segment operating profit

| | Six Mont | Six Months Ended | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | September 30, | October 1, | Dollar Increase | Increase |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 2011 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) |
| Operating profit | \$ 21.7 | \$ 14.5 | \$ 7.2 | 50.1% |
| Percentage of segment revenue | 11.5% | 7.9% | | |

The increase in our government systems segment operating profit of \$7.2 million during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011 was primarily due to higher earnings contributions resulting from lower cost of revenues. Lower cost of revenues was mainly related to the \$8.5 million forward loss recorded on a government satellite communication program in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011 due to the significant additional labor and material costs for rework and testing required to complete the program requirements and specifications. This increase in operating profit was offset by a decrease due to higher selling, support and new business proposal costs of approximately \$1.3 million during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year.

Commercial networks segment

Revenues

| | Six Months Ended | | | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| (In millions, except percentages) | September 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | Dollar Increase (Decrease) | Increase (Decrease) |
| Product revenues | \$ 106.4 | \$ 82.0 | \$ 24.3 | 29.6% |
| Service revenues | 9.9 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 25.7% |
| Total revenues | \$ 116.3 | \$ 89.9 | \$ 26.3 | 29.3% |

Commercial networks segment revenue increased approximately \$26.3 million in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011, due to an increase in product revenues of \$24.3 million and an increase in service revenues of \$2.0 million.

The increase in product revenues from our commercial networks segment was primarily due to increases of \$10.2 million in mobile broadband satellite communication systems, \$4.4 million in consumer broadband products, \$3.7 million in enterprise VSAT networks and products, \$3.7 million in antenna systems products and \$3.5 million from satellite payload technology development programs, offset by a decrease of \$1.5 million in satellite networking development programs.

The increase in service revenues from our commercial networks segment was primarily due to an increase of \$1.8 million in satellite networking development program services.

Segment operating loss

| | Six Months Ended | | | Percentage | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| (In millions, except percentages) | ember 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | (Increase) Decrease | (Increase) Decrease | |
| Operating loss | \$ (6.1) | \$ (3.5) | \$ (2.6) | (73.8)% | |
| Percentage of segment revenues | (5.3)% | (3.9)% | | | |

The increase in the commercial networks segment operating loss in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year was primarily due to an increase in selling, support and new business proposal costs of approximately \$5.0 million, offset by a decrease in IR&D expenses of approximately \$2.1 million.

Satellite services segment

Revenues

| | Six Mon | Six Months Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| (In millions, except percentages) | September 30, 2011 | October 1, 2010 | Dollar Increase (Decrease) | Increase (Decrease) | |
| Product revenues | \$ 1.6 | \$ 2.2 | \$ (0.6) | (27.5)% | |
| Service revenues | 110.7 | 114.0 | (3.3) | (2.9)% | |
| Total revenues | \$ 112.3 | \$ 116.2 | \$ (3.9) | (3.4)% | |

The decrease in satellite services segment revenue in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011 of approximately \$3.9 million is primarily due to a decrease in service revenues of \$3.3 million primarily due to a decrease of \$2.0 million in WildBlue services. The decrease in WildBlue service revenues was mainly due to the lower number of wholesale subscribers as our sales channels provisioned fewer customers with existing service plans in anticipation of the ViaSat-1 satellite related service offerings.

Segment operating profit

| | | Six Months Ended | | | Percentage | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| | Septemb | er 30, | October 1, | Dollar Increase | Increase | |
| (In millions, except percentages) | 201 | 1 | 2010 | (Decrease) | (Decrease) | |
| Operating profit | \$ | 0.2 | \$ 19.2 | \$ (19.0) | (99.0)% | |
| Percentage of segment revenues | | 0.2% | 16.5% | | | |

In the first six months of fiscal year 2012 compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011, the satellite services segment operating profit decreased by \$19.0 million mainly due to lower earnings contributions of \$19.8 million from higher costs of revenues. These higher cost of revenues mainly resulted from WildBlue service cost increases associated with our new data center and billing system and connectivity costs for the ViaSat-1 gateways as we prepare for the commencement of ViaSat-1 satellite services. The lower earnings contributions were offset by a decrease in selling, support and new business proposal costs of approximately \$1.1 million.

Backlog

As reflected in the table below, firm backlog increased during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 primarily due to increased demand for certain products and services and completed contract negotiations for contracts we pursued in fiscal year 2011. The decrease in funded backlog during the first six months of fiscal year 2012 was primarily due to slower funding in our government systems segment primarily over the past three months, which is consistent with the U.S. government fiscal year end timing and trends we have experienced in prior fiscal years.

| | As of September 30, 2011 | | | As of April 1, 2011 | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----|------------------------|--|
| | (In millions) | | | | |
| Firm backlog | | | | | |
| Government Systems segment | \$ | 298.4 | \$ | 283.8 | |
| Commercial Networks segment | | 266.0 | | 216.7 | |
| Satellite Services segment | | 11.0 | | 28.2 | |
| Total | \$ | 575.4 | \$ | 528.7 | |
| Funded backlog | | | | | |
| Government Systems segment | \$ | 172.1 | \$ | 235.6 | |
| Commercial Networks segment | | 266.0 | | 216.7 | |
| Satellite Services segment | | 11.0 | | 28.2 | |
| Total | \$ | 449.1 | \$ | 480.5 | |

The firm backlog does not include contract options. Of the \$575.4 million in firm backlog, approximately \$219.8 million is expected to be delivered during the remaining six months of fiscal year 2012, and the balance is expected to be delivered in fiscal year 2013 and thereafter. We include in our backlog only those orders for which we have accepted purchase orders.

Our new awards totaled \$245.7 million and \$499.3 million in the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, compared to \$253.7 million and \$406.6 million for the three and six months ended October 1, 2010, respectively.

Backlog is not necessarily indicative of future sales. A majority of our contracts can be terminated at the convenience of the customer. Orders are often made substantially in advance of delivery, and our contracts typically provide that orders may be terminated with limited or no penalties. In addition, purchase orders may present product specifications that would require us to complete additional product development. A failure to develop products meeting such specifications could lead to a termination of the related contract.

Firm backlog amounts as presented are comprised of funded and unfunded components. Funded backlog represents the sum of contract amounts for which funds have been specifically obligated by customers to contracts. Unfunded backlog represents future amounts that customers may obligate over the specified contract performance periods. Our customers allocate funds for expenditures on long-term contracts on a periodic basis. Our ability to realize revenues from contracts in backlog is dependent upon adequate funding for such contracts. Although we do not control the funding of our contracts, our experience indicates that actual contract fundings have ultimately been approximately equal to the aggregate amounts of the contracts.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We have financed our operations to date primarily with cash flows from operations, bank line of credit financing, debt financing and equity financing. At September 30, 2011, we had \$36.0 million in cash and cash equivalents, \$235.3 million in working capital and \$170.0 million in principal amount of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. At April 1, 2011, we had \$40.5 million in cash and cash equivalents, \$167.5 million in working capital and \$60.0 million in principal amount of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. We invest our cash in excess of current operating requirements in short-term, interest-bearing, investment-grade securities.

The general cash needs of our government systems, commercial networks and satellite services segments can vary significantly. In our government systems segment, the primary factors determining cash needs tend to be the type and mix of contracts in backlog (i.e., product or service, development or production, and timing of payments), and restrictions on the timing of cash payments under U.S. government procurement regulations. In our commercial networks segment, cash needs tend to be driven primarily by the type and mix of contracts in backlog, the nature and quality of customers, and the payment terms of customers (including whether advance payments are made or customer financing is required). Other factors affecting the cash needs of these segments include contract duration and program performance. For example, if a program is performing well and meeting its contractual requirements, then its cash flow requirements are usually lower. The cash needs of our satellite services segment tend to be driven primarily by the timing of payment of capital expenditures (e.g., timing of network expansion activities and launch contract), as well as the quality of customer, type of contract and payment terms.

To further enhance our liquidity position, we may obtain additional financing, which could consist of debt, convertible debt or equity financing from public and/or private capital markets. In March 2010, we filed a universal shelf registration statement with the SEC for the future sale of an unlimited amount of debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares, warrants and rights. The securities may be offered from time to time, separately or together, directly by us, by selling security holders, or through underwriters, dealers or agents at amounts, prices, interest rates and other terms to be determined at the time of the offering.

Our future capital requirements will depend upon many factors, including the timing and amount of cash required for future broadband satellite projects we may engage in, expansion of our research and development and marketing efforts, and the nature and timing of orders. Additionally, we will continue to evaluate possible acquisitions of, or investments in complementary businesses, products and technologies which may require the use of cash or additional financing. We believe that our current cash balances and net cash expected to be provided by operating activities along with availability under our Credit Facility will be sufficient to meet our anticipated operating requirements for at least the next twelve months.

Cash flows

Cash provided by operating activities for the first six months of fiscal year 2012 was \$23.8 million compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$96.1 million for the first six months of fiscal year 2011. This \$72.3 million decrease was primarily driven by a \$71.1 million year-over-year increase in cash used to fund net operating asset needs. The increase in net operating assets was predominantly due to additional investment in our inventory of \$23.8 million from April 1, 2011 to support our government satellite communication systems, tactical data links and next generation broadband equipment programs, reduction in accrued liabilities of \$13.3 million from April 1, 2011 due to timing of payments, an increase in other assets for next generation consumer broadband capital software development of \$9.4 million during fiscal year 2012 and an increase in our combined billed and unbilled accounts receivable, net, of \$6.6 million from April 1, 2011 primarily due to timing of billings in our commercial network segment.

Cash used in investing activities in the first six months of fiscal year 2012 was \$141.6 million compared to \$131.4 million for the first six months of fiscal year 2011. This \$10.2 million increase in cash used in investing activities was primarily related to an approximately \$10.2 million increase in cash used for capital expenditures for the construction of gateway facilities and network operation systems related to ViaSat-1, an approximately \$9.8 million increase in cash used for construction of our ViaSat-1 satellite, and \$3.8 million in higher capital expenditures for new CPE units and other general purpose equipment, offset by \$13.5 million less cash used during the same period last year for the acquisition of Stonewood.

Cash provided by financing activities for the first six months of fiscal year 2012 was \$113.5 million compared to cash used in financing activities of \$0.7 million for the first six months of fiscal year 2011. This \$114.1 million increase in cash inflows was primarily related to the \$115.0 million in higher proceeds from borrowings under our Credit Facility during the first six months of fiscal year 2012, compared to the first six months of fiscal year 2011. In addition, cash provided by financing activities for both periods included cash received from stock option exercises and employee stock purchase plan purchases, and cash used for the repurchase of common stock related to net share settlement of certain employee tax liabilities in connection with the vesting of restricted stock unit awards.

Satellite-related activities

In January 2008, as amended, we entered into several agreements with Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (SS/L), Loral Space & Communications, Inc. (Loral) and Telesat Canada related to our anticipated high-capacity satellite system. Subsequent to the quarter end, on October 19, 2011, our new high-capacity Ka-band spotbeam satellite, ViaSat-1, was successfully launched into orbit by ILS from the launch facility at the Baikonur Cosmodrome in Kazakhstan and is currently in the process of being tested by the satellite manufacturer prior to transferring control to us. Under the satellite construction contract with SS/L, we may incur up to \$33.2 million in additional costs for orbital performance incentives and related interest earned over the life of the satellite.

Senior Notes due 2016

In October 2009, we issued \$275.0 million in principal amount of Notes in a private placement to institutional buyers. The Notes were exchanged in May 2010 for substantially identical Notes that had been registered with the SEC. The Notes bear interest at the rate of 8.875% per year, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears, which interest payments commenced in March 2010. The Notes were issued with an original issue discount of 1.24%, or \$3.4 million.

The Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior basis by each of our existing and future subsidiaries that guarantees the Credit Facility (the Guarantor Subsidiaries). The Notes and the guarantees are our and the Guarantor Subsidiaries' general senior unsecured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of their existing and future unsecured unsubordinated debt. The Notes and the guarantees are effectively junior in right of payment to their existing and future secured debt, including under the Credit Facility (to the extent of the value of the assets securing such debt), are structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities (including trade payables) of our subsidiaries that are not guarantors of the Notes, and are senior in right of payment to all of their existing and future subordinated indebtedness.

The indenture governing the Notes limits, among other things, our and our restricted subsidiaries' ability to: incur, assume or guarantee additional debt; issue redeemable stock and preferred stock; pay dividends, make distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock; prepay, redeem or repurchase subordinated debt; make loans and investments; grant or incur liens; restrict dividends, loans or asset transfers from restricted subsidiaries; sell or otherwise dispose of assets; enter into transactions with affiliates; reduce our satellite insurance; and consolidate or merge with, or sell substantially all of their assets to, another person.

Prior to September 15, 2012, we may redeem up to 35% of the Notes at a redemption price of 108.875% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, thereon to the redemption date, from the net cash proceeds of specified equity offerings. We may also redeem the Notes prior to September 15, 2012, in whole or in part, at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof plus the applicable premium and any accrued and unpaid interest, if any, thereon to the redemption date. The applicable premium is calculated as the greater of: (i) 1.0% of the principal amount of such Notes and (ii) the excess, if any, of (a) the present value at such date of redemption of (1) the redemption price of such Notes on September 15, 2012 plus (2) all required interest payments due on such Notes through September 15, 2012 (excluding accrued but unpaid interest to the date of redemption), computed using a discount rate equal to the treasury rate (as defined in the indenture) plus 50 basis points, over (b) the then-outstanding principal amount of such Notes. The Notes may be redeemed, in whole or in part, at any time during the twelve months beginning on September 15, 2013 at a redemption price of 104.438%, during the twelve months beginning on September 15, 2014 at a redemption price of 102.219%, and at any time on or after September 12, 2015 at a redemption price of 100%, in each case plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, thereon to the redemption date.

In the event a change of control occurs (as defined in the indenture), each holder will have the right to require us to repurchase all or any part of such holder's Notes at a purchase price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase (subject to the right of holders of record on the relevant record date to receive interest due on the relevant interest payment date).

Credit Facility

As of September 30, 2011, the Credit Facility, as amended, provided a revolving line of credit of \$325.0 million (including up to \$35.0 million of letters of credit) with a maturity date of January 25, 2016. Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest, at our option, at either (1) the highest of the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, Eurodollar rate plus 1.00% or the administrative agent's prime rate as announced from time to time, or (2) at the Eurodollar rate plus, in the case of each of (1) and (2), an applicable margin that is based on the ratio of our debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) as defined in the Credit Facility. At September 30, 2011, the weighted average effective interest rate on our outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility was 3.23%. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by certain of our domestic subsidiaries and collateralized by substantially all of our respective assets. The Credit Facility contains financial covenants regarding a maximum leverage ratio, a maximum senior secured leverage ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. In addition, the Credit Facility contains covenants that restrict, among other things, our ability to sell assets, make investments and acquisitions, make capital expenditures, grant liens, pay dividends and make certain other restricted payments. At September 30, 2011, we had \$170.0 million in principal amount of outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility and \$8.8 million outstanding under standby letters of credit, leaving borrowing availability under the Credit Facility as of September 30, 2011 of \$146.2 million. Subsequent to the quarter end, on October 31, 2011, we amended the Credit Facility torevise the definition of EBITDA for certain earnings impacts related to the delay in the launch of our ViaSat-1 satellite.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth a summary of our obligations at September 30, 2011:

| | | For the | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|
| | | Remainder of | | | |
| | | Fiscal Year | For the Fiscal Years Ending | | |
| (In thousands) | Total | 2012 | 2013-2014 | 2015-2016 | Thereafter |
| Operating leases and satellite capacity agreements | \$ 167,327 | \$ 20,890 | \$ 65,234 | \$ 36,647 | \$ 44,556 |
| Capital lease | 2,746 | 653 | 2,093 | _ | _ |
| The Notes (1) | 396,015 | 12,203 | 48,813 | 48,813 | 286,186 |
| Line of credit | 170,000 | _ | | 170,000 | _ |
| Standby letters of credit | 8,823 | 3,039 | 5,784 | _ | _ |
| Purchase commitments including satellite-related agreements | 461,560 | 128,055 | 136,923 | 97,280 | 99,302 |
| Total | \$ 1,206,471 | \$ 164,840 | \$ 258,847 | \$ 352,740 | \$ 430,044 |
| | | | | | |

Includes total interest payments on the Notes of \$12.2 million for the remainder of fiscal year 2012, \$48.8 million in fiscal years 2013-2014, \$48.8 million in fiscal years 2015-2016 and \$11.2 million thereafter.

We purchase components from a variety of suppliers and use several subcontractors and contract manufacturers to provide design and manufacturing services for our products. During the normal course of business, we enter into agreements with subcontractors, contract manufacturers and suppliers that either allow them to procure inventory based upon criteria defined by us or that establish the parameters defining our requirements. Pursuant to our satellite-related activities, we may incur up to \$33.2 million in additional costs to SS/L, the manufacturer of our ViaSat-1 satellite, for orbital performance incentives and related interest earned over the life of the satellite. From time to time we also contract for satellite capacity, satellite construction, launch service and launch insurance which supports our satellite service segment. In certain instances, these agreements allow us the option to cancel, reschedule and adjust our requirements based on our business needs prior to firm orders being placed. Consequently, only a portion of our reported purchase commitments arising from these agreements are firm, non-cancelable and unconditional commitments.

Our condensed consolidated balance sheets included \$24.4 million and \$23.8 million of "other liabilities" as of September 30, 2011 and April 1, 2011, respectively, which primarily consisted of our long-term warranty obligations, long-term portion of deferred rent, long-term portion of deferred revenue and long-term unrecognized tax position liabilities. These remaining liabilities have been excluded from the above table as the timing and/or the amount of any cash payment is uncertain. See Note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our income taxes and related tax positions and Note 7 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of our product warranties.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We had no material off-balance sheet arrangements at September 30, 2011 as defined in Regulation S-K Item 303(a)(4) other than as discussed under Contractual Obligations above or disclosed in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report or in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 1, 2011.

Recent Authoritative Guidance

In October 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for revenue recognition with multiple deliverables (ASU 2009-13, which updated ASC 605-25). This new guidance impacts the determination of when the individual deliverables included in a multiple-element arrangement may be treated as separate units of accounting. Additionally, this authoritative guidance modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across the separately identified deliverables by no longer permitting the residual method of allocating arrangement consideration. This authoritative guidance will be effective for us beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012; however, early adoption is permitted. We adopted this authoritative guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 without a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (ASC 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The new authoritative guidance results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between GAAP and IFRS. While many of the amendments to GAAP are not expected to have a significant effect on practice, the new guidance changes some fair value measurement principles and disclosure requirements. This authoritative guidance will be effective for us beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012. Adoption of this authoritative guidance is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (ASC 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The new authoritative guidance requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The new authoritative guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity. This authoritative guidance will be effective for us beginning in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 and should be applied retrospectively; however, early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that the authoritative guidance may have on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (ASU 2011-08): Testing Goodwill for Impairment. The new authoritative guidance simplifies how an entity tests goodwill for impairment. The new authoritative guidance allows an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. The two-step quantitative impairment test is required only if, based on its qualitative assessment, an entity determines that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. This authoritative guidance is effective for interim and annual goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Adoption of this authoritative guidance is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest rate risk

Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, accounts payable, and short-term and long-term obligations, including the Credit Facility and the Notes. We consider investments in highly liquid instruments purchased with a remaining maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. As of September 30, 2011, we had \$170.0 million and \$275.0 million in principal amount of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility and Notes, respectively, and we held no short-term investments. Since the Notes bear interest at a fixed rate, exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to borrowings under our Credit Facility, cash equivalents, short-term investments and short-term obligations.

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while at the same time maximizing the income we receive from our investments without significantly increasing risk. To minimize this risk, we maintain a significant portion of our cash balance in money market funds. In general, money market funds are not subject to interest rate risk because the interest paid on such funds fluctuates with the prevailing interest rate. Our cash and cash equivalents earn interest at variable rates. Given recent declines in interest rates, our interest income has been and may continue to be negatively impacted. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than expected if interest rates fall. If the underlying weighted average interest rate on our cash and cash equivalents, assuming balances remain constant over a year, changed by 50 basis points, interest income would have increased or decreased by approximately \$0.1 million. Because our investment policy restricts us to invest in conservative, interest-bearing investments and because our business strategy does not rely on generating material returns from our investment portfolio, we do not expect our market risk exposure on our investment portfolio to be material.

As of September 30, 2011, we had \$170.0 million in principal amount of outstanding borrowings under our Credit Facility. Our primary interest rate under the Credit Facility is the Eurodollar rate plus an applicable margin that is based on the ratio of our debt to EBITDA. As of September 30, 2011, the weighted average effective interest rate on our outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility was 3.23%. Assuming the outstanding balance remained constant over a year, a 50 basis point increase in the interest rate would increase interest incurred prior to effects of capitalized interest and cash flow by approximately \$0.9 million over a twelve-month period.

Foreign exchange risk

We generally conduct our business in U.S. dollars. However, as our international business is conducted in a variety of foreign currencies and we pay some of our vendors in Euros, we are exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Our objective in managing our exposure to foreign currency risk is to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility associated with foreign exchange rate fluctuations. Accordingly, from time to time, we may enter into foreign currency forward contracts to mitigate risks associated with foreign currency denominated assets, liabilities, commitments and anticipated foreign currency transactions.

As of September 30, 2011, we had a number of foreign currency forward contracts outstanding which are intended to reduce the foreign currency risk for amounts payable to vendors in Euros. The foreign currency forward contracts with a notional amount of \$12.6 million had a fair value of approximately \$0.5 million and were recorded in accrued liabilities as of September 30, 2011. The fair value of these foreign currency forward contracts as of September 30, 2011 would have changed by approximately \$1.2 million if the foreign currency forward rate for the Euro to the U.S. dollar on these foreign currency forward contracts had changed by 10%.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving the objective that information in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified and pursuant to the requirements of the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), we carried out an evaluation, with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2011, the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report. Based upon the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level as of September 30, 2011.

During the period covered by this Quarterly Report, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in a variety of claims, suits, investigations and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including actions with respect to intellectual property claims, breach of contract claims, labor and employment claims, tax and other matters. Although claims, suits, investigations and proceedings are inherently uncertain and their results cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the resolution of our current pending matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Regardless of the outcome, litigation can have an adverse impact on us because of defense costs, diversion of management resources and other factors. In addition, it is possible that an unfavorable resolution of one or more such proceedings could in the future materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or liquidity in a particular period.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 1, 2011, which could materially affect our business, financial condition, liquidity or future results. There have been no material changes to these risk factors, other than changes to the risk factor relating to owning and operating satellites, which has been updated to reflect the launch of our ViaSat-1 satellite on October 19, 2011. The risks described in our reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q are not the only risks facing our company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity or future results.

Owning and Operating Satellites Involve Considerable Risks

On October 19, 2011, our new high-capacity Ka-band spot-beam satellite, ViaSat-1, was successfully launched into orbit by ILS from the launch facility at the Baikonur Cosmodrome in Kazakhstan and is currently in the process of being tested by the satellite manufacturer prior to transferring control to us. In addition, in December 2009, we acquired WildBlue and, as a result of such acquisition, we own and operate WildBlue's Ka-band satellite (WildBlue-1) and hold an exclusive lifetime lease of Ka-band capacity on Telesat Canada's Anik F2 satellite in the contiguous United States. We may acquire or use one or more additional satellites in the future. If post-launch testing of ViaSat-1 is not successfully completed and the satellite is not brought into service, or if we are unable to

continue to operate WildBlue-1 or Anik F2 or are unable to manufacture and successfully launch a satellite in a timely manner or at all, as a result of any of the following risks or otherwise, we may be unable to realize the anticipated benefits from our satellite and associated services business, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected:

- Business Plan. We may be unsuccessful in implementing our business plan for the WildBlue business and our satellite services segment as a whole, or we may not be able to achieve the revenue that we expect from our satellite services segment. A failure to attract a sufficient number of distributors or customers would result in lower revenues than anticipated.
- Satellite Failures and Degradations in Performance. ViaSat-1, WildBlue-1 Telesat Canada's Anik F2, and SES WorldSkies' AMC-15 satellites supporting our WildBlue business are, and any future satellite we acquire will be, subject to potential satellite failures or performance degradations. Satellites are subject to in-orbit risks including malfunctions, commonly referred to as anomalies, interference from electrostatic storms, and collisions with meteoroids, decommissioned spacecraft or other space debris. Anomalies occur as a result of various factors, such as satellite manufacturing errors, problems with the power systems or control systems of the satellites and general failures resulting from operating satellites in the harsh environment of space. If any of the foregoing were to occur on ViaSat-1, WildBlue-1, Anik F2, AMC-15 or any other satellite we may acquire or use, this could have a material adverse effect on our operations, our ability to generate revenues in our satellite services segment, and our relationships with current customers and distributors, as well as our ability to attract new customers for our satellite broadband services. Anomalies may also reduce the expected useful life of a satellite, thereby creating additional expenses due to the need to provide replacement or backup capacity and potentially reduce revenues if service is interrupted on the satellites we utilize. We may not be able to obtain or finance backup transponder capacity or a replacement satellite on reasonable economic terms or at all. In addition, an increased frequency of anomalies could impact market acceptance of our services.
- Cost and Schedule Risks. The cost of completing satellites and developing the associated ground infrastructure may be more than we anticipate and there may be delays in completing satellites and infrastructure within the expected timeframe. We may be required to spend in excess of our forecasts for the completion, launch and launch insurance of any satellite, or for the development associated with the associated ground infrastructure. The construction and launch of satellites are often subject to delays, including satellite and launch vehicle construction delays, cost overruns, periodic unavailability of reliable launch opportunities and delays in obtaining regulatory approvals. If the satellite construction schedule is not met, there may be even further delays because there can be no assurance that a launch opportunity will be available at the time the satellite is ready to be launched, and we may not be able to obtain or maintain regulatory authority or ITU priority necessary to implement the satellite as proposed.
- Launch Risks. There are risks associated with the launch of satellites, including launch failure, damage or destruction during launch and improper orbital placement. Launch vehicles may underperform, in which case the satellite may still be placed into service by using its onboard propulsion systems to reach the desired orbital location, resulting in a reduction in its service life. Launch failures result in significant delays in the deployment of satellites because of the need both to construct replacement satellites, which can take up to 36 months, and obtain other launch opportunities. The overall historical loss rate in the satellite industry for all launches of commercial satellites in fixed orbits in the last five years is estimated by some industry participants to be approximately 10% but could at any time be higher.
- Satellite Life. Our ability to earn revenue depends on the usefulness of ViaSat-1, WildBlue-1, Anik F2 and any other satellite we may acquire in the future. Each satellite has a limited useful life. The period of time during which a satellite is expected to function in accordance with its specifications is referred to as such satellite's design life. The design life of ViaSat-1 is 15 years from launch, ending in 2026, the design life of WildBlue-1 is 12 years from launch, ending in 2019, and the design life of Telesat Canada's Anik F2 satellite is 15 years from launch, ending in 2019. A number of factors affect the useful lives of the satellites, including, among other things, the quality of their design and construction, the durability of their component parts and back-up units, the ability to continue to maintain proper orbit and control over the satellite's functions, the efficiency of the launch vehicle used, the remaining on-board fuel following orbit insertion, the occurrence of any anomaly or series of anomalies affecting the satellite, and the launch risks and in-orbit risks described above. There can be no assurance that the actual useful life of ViaSat-1, WildBlue-1, Anik F2 or any other satellite that we may acquire will equal its design life. In addition, continued improvements in satellite technology may make obsolete ViaSat-1 or any other satellite we may own or acquire prior to the end of its life.
- *Insurance Risks*. We currently hold in-orbit insurance for ViaSat-1, WildBlue-1 and Anik F2. We also intend to seek in-orbit insurance for any satellite we may acquire. However, we may not be able to obtain insurance, or renew existing

insurance, on reasonable economic terms or at all. If we are able to obtain or renew our insurance, it may contain customary exclusions and will not likely cover the full cost of constructing and launching or replacing the satellites, nor will it cover business interruptions or similar losses. In addition, the occurrence of any anomalies on other satellites, including other Ka-band satellites, or any failures of a satellite using similar components or failures of a similar launch vehicle to any launch vehicle we intend to use for any future satellite, may materially adversely affect our ability to insure the satellites at commercially reasonable premiums, if at all.

• Joint Venture Risks. We may own or operate future satellites through joint ventures that we do not control. If we were to enter into any such joint venture, we would be exposed to certain risks and uncertainties, including the risk of the joint venture or applicable entity failing to satisfy its obligations, which may result in certain liabilities to us for guarantees and other commitments, challenges in achieving strategic objectives and expected benefits of the business arrangement, the risk of conflicts arising between us and our partners and the difficulty of managing and resolving such conflicts, and the difficulty of managing or otherwise monitoring such business arrangements. In addition, our operating results would be affected by the performance of businesses over which we do not exercise unilateral control and, if any other members of such joint venture were to file for bankruptcy or otherwise fail to perform its obligations or to manage the joint venture effectively, this could cause us to lose our investment in any such joint venture entity.

Item 5. Other Information

Our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders has been scheduled for January 27, 2012. Our board of directors has established December 6, 2011 as the record date for stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the annual meeting.

We also have established a new deadline for the receipt of stockholder proposals submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act for inclusion in our proxy materials for the annual meeting. In order to be considered timely, such proposals must be received at our principal executive offices at 6155 El Camino Real, Carlsbad, California 92009, Attention: General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, no later than the close of business on November 19, 2011, and must also comply with Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act regarding the inclusion of stockholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials.

Additionally, in accordance with the advance notice provisions set forth in our bylaws, in order for other business to be brought before the annual meeting outside of Rule 14a-8 of the Exchange Act, it must be received at our principal executive offices no later than the close of business on November 19, 2011, and must also comply with the provisions set forth in our bylaws and applicable law.

Item 6. Exhibits

The Exhibit Index on page 58 is incorporated herein by reference as the list of exhibits required as part of this Quarterly Report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

November 9, 2011

VIASAT, INC.

/s/ MARK D. DANKBERG

Mark D. Dankberg Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ RONALD G. WANGERIN

Ronald G. Wangerin Vice President, Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit | | | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed |
|----------|--|-------------|---------------------------|---------|------------------|----------|
| Number | Exhibit Description | Form | File No. | Exhibit | Filing Date | Herewith |
| 10.1 | Eighth Amendment to Fourth Amended and Restated Revolving Loan Agreement, dated as of October 31, 2011, by and among ViaSat, Inc., Union Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Compass Bank and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association and the other lenders party thereto | 8-K | 000-21767 | 10.1 | November 4, 2011 | |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |
| 32.1 | Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | | | | X |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document | | | | | X |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema | | | | | X |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase | | | | | X |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase | | | | | X |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase | | | | | X |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase | | | | | X |

^{*} Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language). Pursuant to applicable securities laws and regulations, we are deemed to have complied with the reporting obligation relating to the submission of interactive data files in such exhibits and are not subject to liability under any anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws as long as we have made a good faith attempt to comply with the submission requirements and promptly amend the interactive data files after becoming aware that the interactive data files fail to comply with the submission requirements. Users of this data are advised that, pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are deemed not filed or part of any registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and are otherwise not subject to liability under these sections.

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Mark D. Dankberg, Chief Executive Officer of ViaSat, Inc., certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of ViaSat, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 9, 2011 /s/ MARK D. DANKBERG

Mark D. Dankberg Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

- I, Ronald G. Wangerin, Chief Financial Officer of ViaSat, Inc., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of ViaSat, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 9, 2011 /s/ RONALD G. WANGERIN

Ronald G. Wangerin Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of ViaSat, Inc. (the "Company") hereby certifies, to such officer's knowledge, that:

- (a) the accompanying quarterly report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
 - (b) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 9, 2011

/s/ MARK D. DANKBERG Mark D. Dankberg Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned officer of ViaSat, Inc. (the "Company") hereby certifies, to such officer's knowledge, that:

- (a) the accompanying quarterly report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
 - (b) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 9, 2011 /s/ RONALD G. WANGERIN

Ronald G. Wangerin Chief Financial Officer